

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE

AT SACRAMENTO

2019–20 REGULAR SESSION

SUPPLEMENTAL RECESS

ASSEMBLY FILE

GOVERNOR'S VETOES



HON. ANTHONY RENDON
Speaker

HON. KEVIN MULLIN
Speaker pro Tempore

HON. IAN C. CALDERON
Majority Leader

HON. REBECCA BAUER-KAHAN
Assistant Speaker pro Tempore

HON. MARIE WALDRON
Republican Leader

SUE PARKER
Chief Clerk

CRESTON WHITING-CASEY
Daily File Clerk

PUBLISHED

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 2, 2020

(Please report any errors or omissions in this publication to the Daily File Clerk;
Phone 319–2358)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLES

Assembly Member Room Number and Phone List 5

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Governor's Vetoes 7

**NOTE: ALL VOTE REQUIREMENTS ARE SUBJECT TO
CROSS-REFERENCING OF CURRENT LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL DIGESTS.**

Joint Rule 58.5

The Legislature may consider a Governor's veto for only 60 calendar days, not counting days when the Legislature is in joint recess.

Legislative Information is Available on the Internet

California State Assembly Web site:
assembly.ca.gov

The following legislative information Web site is maintained by the Legislative Data Center:
leginfo.legislature.ca.gov

Assembly Chief Clerk Web site:
clerk.assembly.ca.gov

MEMBERS OF THE ASSEMBLY ROOM AND PHONE LISTING

(Tentative; 6-11-20)

<i>Member</i>	<i>Capitol Room No.</i>	<i>Capitol Phone No.</i>	<i>Member</i>	<i>Capitol Room No.</i>	<i>Capitol Phone No.</i>
Aguiar-Curry, Cecilia M. (D) ..	5144	319-2004	Jones-Sawyer, Sr., Reginald B. (D) .	2117	319-2059
Arambula, Joaquin (D)	5155	319-2031	Kalra, Ash (D)	2196	319-2027
Bauer-Kahan, Rebecca (D).....	2130	319-2016	Kamlager, Sydney (D)	4015	319-2054
Berman, Marc (D)	6011	319-2024	Kiley, Kevin (R)	5128	319-2006
Bigelow, Frank (R)	4158	319-2005	Lackey, Tom (R)	2174	319-2036
Bloom, Richard (D)	2003	319-2050	Levine, Marc (D)	5135	319-2010
Boerner Horvath, Tasha (D)....	4130	319-2076	Limón, Monique (D)	6031	319-2037
Bonta, Rob (D)	2148	319-2018	Low, Evan (D)	4126	319-2028
Brough, William P. (R)	3141	319-2073	Maienschein, Brian (D)....	2170	319-2077
Burke, Autumn R. (D)	5150	319-2062	Mathis, Devon J. (R).....	2111	319-2026
Calderon, Ian C. (D)	319	319-2057	Mayes, Chad (I)	4098	319-2042
Carrillo, Wendy (D)	4167	319-2051	McCarty, Kevin (D)	2136	319-2007
Cervantes, Sabrina (D).....	5164	319-2060	Medina, Jose (D)	2141	319-2061
Chau, Ed (D)	5016	319-2049	Mullin, Kevin (D)	3160	319-2022
Chen, Phillip (R)	4177	319-2055	Muratsuchi, Al (D)	2179	319-2066
Chiu, David (D)	4112	319-2017	Nazarian, Adrin (D)	4146	319-2046
Choi, Steven S. (R)	2016	319-2068	Obernolte, Jay (R)	4116	319-2033
Chu, Kansen (D)	3126	319-2025	O'Donnell, Patrick (D)	4001	319-2070
Cooley, Ken (D)	3013	319-2008	Patterson, Jim (R)	3132	319-2023
Cooper, Jim (D)	6025	319-2009	Petrie-Norris, Cottie (D)	4144	319-2074
Cunningham, Jordan (R) ..	4102	319-2035	Quirk, Bill (D)	2163	319-2020
Dahle, Megan (R)	4208	319-2001	Quirk-Silva, Sharon (D) ...	6012	319-2065
Daly, Tom (D)	3120	319-2069	Ramos, James C. (D)	4162	319-2040
Diep, Tyler (R)	4153	319-2072	Rendon, Anthony (D)	219	319-2063
Egman, Susan Talamantes (D). .	4117	319-2013	Reyes, Eloise Gómez (D) .	2175	319-2047
Flora, Heath (R)	3098	319-2012	Rivas, Luz M. (D)	2160	319-2039
Fong, Vince (R)	2002	319-2034	Rivas, Robert (D)	5158	319-2030
Frazier, Jim (D)	3091	319-2011	Rodriguez, Freddie (D)	2188	319-2052
Friedman, Laura (D)	2137	319-2043	Rubio, Blanca E. (D)	5175	319-2048
Gabriel, Jesse (D)	4139	319-2045	Salas, Jr., Rudy (D)	4016	319-2032
Gallagher, James (R)	3147	319-2003	Santiago, Miguel (D)	6027	319-2053
Garcia, Cristina (D)	2013	319-2058	Smith, Christy (D)	2158	319-2038
Garcia, Eduardo (D)	4140	319-2056	Stone, Mark (D)	3146	319-2029
Gipson, Mike A. (D)	3173	319-2064	Ting, Philip Y. (D)	6026	319-2019
Gloria, Todd (D)	2176	319-2078	Voepel, Randy (R)	4009	319-2071
Gonzalez, Lorena (D)	2114	319-2080	Waldron, Marie (R)	3104	319-2075
Gray, Adam C. (D)	3152	319-2021	Weber, Shirley N. (D)	3123	319-2079
Grayson, Timothy S. (D) ..	4164	319-2014	Wicks, Buffy (D)	5160	319-2015
Holden, Chris R. (D)	5132	319-2041	Wood, Jim (D)	6005	319-2002
Irwin, Jacqui (D)	5119	319-2044	Vacancy, 67th District	5128	319-2067

OFFICERS OF THE ASSEMBLY

<i>Title</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Capitol Room No.</i>	<i>Capitol Phone No.</i>
Speaker	Anthony Rendon (D)	219 . . .	319-2063
Speaker pro Tempore	Kevin Mullin (D)	3160 . . .	319-2022
Assistant Speaker pro Tempore	Rebecca Bauer-Kahan (D)	2130 . . .	319-2016
Majority Leader	Ian C. Calderon (D)	319 . . .	319-2057
Republican Leader	Marie Waldron (R)	3104 . . .	319-2075
Chief Clerk	Sue Parker	3196 . . .	319-2856
Chief Sergeant at Arms	Alisa Buckley	3171 . . .	319-2808

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

GOVERNOR'S VETOES

1

A.B. No. 545—Low et al.
An act relating to cannabis.

2020

- Sep. 14—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.
Sep. 24—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.
Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 545 without my signature.

AB 545 would sunset the Bureau of Cannabis Control and subject the Bureau to review by the Joint Sunset Review Committee.

The Medicinal and Adult-Use Cannabis Regulation and Safety Act (MAUCRSA) divides regulatory authority over commercial cannabis activity among the Bureau of Cannabis Control, the Department of Food and Agriculture and the State Department of Public Health. My Administration has proposed consolidating the regulatory authority currently divided between three state entities into one single department, which we hope to achieve next year in partnership with the Legislature.

Therefore, this bill is premature, and I am returning it without my signature.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

2

A.B. No. 1066—Gonzalez.

An act relating to unemployment benefits.

2020

Sep. 14—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Sep. 24—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1066 without my signature.

This bill would conclusively presume that a claimant is entitled to the maximum benefit amount for the purposes of unemployment insurance if an employer does not furnish requested wage information for the Employment Development Department (EDD) within 10 days after receiving notice.

Current law already entitles a claimant to the maximum benefit amount if the employer does not provide documents responding to a claim within a reasonable time frame.

By conclusively presuming an individual is entitled to the maximum benefit amount after 10 days, this bill will result in significant new borrowing of federal funds to the Unemployment Insurance fund, increasing interest costs borne by the state General Fund. These costs are not included in the 2020 Budget Act and will add cost pressures on state funds that are already strained because of the pandemic.

Therefore, I am returning this bill without my signature.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

3

A.B. No. 3053—Daly.

An act relating to employment.

2020

Sep. 4—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2 p.m.

Sep. 24—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 3053 without my signature.

This bill would require the Labor Commissioner to create an online portal that would allow wage claimants to file unpaid wage claims, track those claims and submit requested documents regarding those claims. This bill is aimed at reducing the backlog in administering wage claims that results in unacceptable delays.

I fully support measures to improve outcomes for workers who have been denied their hard-earned wages. The Labor Commissioner's Office has already launched a low-wage industry initiative to address lasting backlogs. The goal of this initiative is to build industry-specific expertise among wage enforcement deputies dedicated to those industries. This initiative will improve outcomes for workers and help cut through the backlog of claims, through enforcement deputies and hearing officers who understand industry-specific practices and commonly alleged violations.

We should allow time for these existing efforts at the Labor Commissioner's Office to show some results.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued**GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued****4**

A.B. No. 2004—Calderon et al.
An act relating to privacy.

2020

- Sep. 15—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.
Sep. 26—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.
Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 2004 without my signature.

This bill would require the Government Operations Agency to establish a working group to explore the use of verifiable health credentials to communicate COVID-19 or other medical test results, and to report on best practices by July 1, 2022.

I appreciate the innovative spirit of this bill. However, the California COVID-19 Testing Task Force is already able to convene stakeholders and experts to discuss innovation in testing and reporting as needed. Currently, the state has multiple ongoing efforts and investments around COVID-19 testing.

As an avenue to capitalize on California's innovation economy to meet government needs, last year I established the Request for Innovative Ideas (RFI2) process as a competitive procurement approach that seeks to engage innovators, entrepreneurs, scientists, vendors, and experts to collaborate on designing leading-edge solutions. Just this month, my Administration utilized the RFI2 process to request new solutions for how to collect COVID-19 test specimens, transport them to processing labs, and deliver test results.

At a time when California is facing fiscal constraints and unprecedented challenges, the millions of dollars this bill would cost would be better spent on timely solutions to meet our most pressing needs.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

5

A.B. No. 2164—Robert Rivas et al.
An act relating to health care.

2020

- Sep. 10—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2:30 p.m.
Sep. 26—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.
Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 2164 without my signature.

This bill would authorize a Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC) and Rural Health Center (RHC) to establish a relationship with a patient who is located within their service area by synchronous or asynchronous (store-and-forward) telehealth. AB 2164 would sunset 180 days after the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency has been terminated by the state of California.

While I am supportive of utilizing telehealth to increase access to primary and specialty care services, the Department of Health Care Services is currently in the process of evaluating its global telehealth policy to determine what temporary flexibilities should be extended beyond the COVID-19 pandemic. Changes to FQHC and RHC telehealth is better considered within the context of a global assessment around telehealth in the state of California. Further, the cost of these changes is also more appropriately considered alongside other policy changes in the budget process next year.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

6

A.B. No. 2360—Maienschein.

An act relating to mental health.

2020

Sep. 11—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Sep. 26—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 2360 without my signature.

This bill would require health care service plans, including Knox-Keene licensed Medi-Cal managed care health plans, to provide access to a provider-to-provider telehealth consultation program for providers who treat children and pregnant and postpartum persons.

While I appreciate the author's intent to expand mental health services for children and pregnant and postpartum persons, the bill would create costs that would be more appropriately addressed through the annual budget process.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

7

A.B. No. 2387—Grayson.

An act relating to in-home supportive services.

2020

Sep. 11—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Sep. 26—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 2387 without my signature.

This bill would authorize counties to perform the In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) reassessment using telehealth, including video conference or telephone, subject to continuing federal approval, if certain conditions are met.

The California Department of Social Services has permitted counties and other entities to use video conference or telephone to perform duties that would otherwise be done in-person during the COVID-19 pandemic. This bill would extend flexibilities that were made in response to a public health emergency.

It is premature to make statutory changes to these policies until the Department has had the opportunity to assess their impact on consumers and alignment with overall program goals and processes. Moreover, although this bill may provide counties greater case management flexibility, it may also impede social workers' ability to directly and accurately assess IHSS recipients' abilities, limitations, living conditions, health and safety.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

8

A.B. No. 69—Ting et al.

An act relating to housing, and making an appropriation therefor.

2020

Sep. 14—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Sep. 28—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 69 without my signature.

This bill would establish the Help Homeowners Add New Housing Program within the State Treasurer's Office to finance the construction of Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units (JADUs) and would require the California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA) to issue revenue bonds to fund the program.

ADUs and JADUs are a critical tool for increasing the housing supply in California, and access to construction financing remains one of the major hurdles in unlocking their full potential. I was proud to sign three bills last year that built on the state's strongest-in-the-nation ADU streamlining laws.

Access to ADU financing - especially for lower-income California homeowners - is an issue that should be addressed, but the financial structure proposed in this bill would negatively impact affordable housing production, as it could harm CalHFA's credit ratings.

Therefore, I am directing the Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency to continue departmental efforts to provide increased access to capital markets and opportunities to encourage broader adoption of ADUs and JADUs.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

9

A.B. No. 515—Mathis.

An act relating to Medi-Cal.

2020

Sep. 4—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2 p.m.

Sep. 28—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 515 without my signature.

Assembly Bill 515 would authorize the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) to reduce the interest rate assessed against any unrecovered overpayment to less than seven percent when a provider enters into a repayment agreement.

However, AB 515 fails to distinguish between overpayments due to provider fraud and abuse and those caused by Medi-Cal policy changes or DHCS error that are not the fault of a billing provider. In addition, it does not make the option for DHCS to waive interest subject to the availability of federal funding. As written, AB 515 would make it difficult for DHCS to protect California taxpayers from fraud, abuse, or improper billing.

I encourage the author to work with DHCS on future legislation that will specify the circumstances under which interest may be waived, and make those conditions subject to the availability of federal funding, in order to protect the State General Fund.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

10

A.B. No. 1845—Luz Rivas et al.
An act relating to state government.

2020

- Sep. 15—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.
Sep. 28—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.
Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1845 without my signature.

This bill would establish the Office to End Homelessness within the Office of the Governor and realign several of the state's ongoing efforts related to homelessness.

I sincerely appreciate the author's leadership on this issue and the intent of this bill, but I do not support this particular vision of organizational restructuring at this time.

Homelessness has been and remains one of my top priorities, commanding the dedicated attention of a Senior Counselor on Homelessness and Housing in the Governor's office and the dedication of senior members of my Administration including multiple Agency Secretaries. Since taking office in January 2019, we have invested over \$2 billion in new, direct aid for homelessness. I am also proud of our work to implement Project Roomkey and Homekey, which help to protect homeless Californians from COVID-19 during this pandemic.

These initiatives and investments demonstrate our commitment to prioritizing this vulnerable population, no matter what other challenges we confront. And they serve as a proof point of the interagency coordination we have led to develop and implement them successfully.

Homelessness must not be considered in a vacuum.

Our Administration has taken a demonstrably integrated approach to preventing and ending homelessness by empowering leaders in the health care and housing space to work together on coordinated solutions.

Separating policy development on homelessness from that on health care or housing will lead to more fragmentation, not less. Looking at homeless spending through a separate lens, divorced from our health care and housing budgets, will lead to more duplication and inefficiency.

There are certainly ways in which we can improve upon state government's collective work in this area.

MEASURE CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

A.B. No. 1845—Luz Rivas et al.—continued

However, I am not convinced that the approach outlined in this bill is the best path forward.

I am committed to partnering with the author and the Legislature next year to continue making progress on this critical issue.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

11

A.B. No. 2040—Bigelow et al.
An act relating to taxation.

2020

Sep. 10—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2:30 p.m.

Sep. 28—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 2040 without my signature.

This bill would require the Madera County auditor-controller to allocate additional funds over a period of nine years to the county and cities to correct property tax allocation errors.

I recognize the unique circumstances that led to this mistake and how it was exacerbated over time. However, correcting this situation must be done in a manner that does not negatively impact school funding.

Therefore, I urge the Legislature to work with my Administration to resolve this issue through the budget process.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

12

A.B. No. 2046—Voepel.

An act relating to child support.

2020

Sep. 4—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2 p.m.

Sep. 28—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 2046 without my signature.

This bill seeks to limit the amount of child support arrears that may be garnished via an income withholding order from a low-income disabled veteran to no more than five percent of their monthly Veterans Administration (VA) disability payments.

While the intent of this bill is laudable, I am concerned that there is confusion about if and when VA disability benefits can be garnished and that adding a new state law will only increase that confusion. Federal law currently prohibits VA disability payments from several types of garnishment, including for child support enforcement, and we comply with federal law. Given this confusion, I am directing the Department of Child Support Services to make information about the existing federal law available on its website.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

13

A.B. No. 2092—Rodriguez.

An act relating to emergency ambulance employees.

2020

Sep. 10—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2:30 p.m.

Sep. 28—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 2092 without my signature.

This bill would require private entities providing emergency ground ambulance services to establish a voluntary program that allows employees of private ambulance providers to purchase employer-subsidized multithreat body protective gear.

Existing regulations impose an affirmative obligation on employers to evaluate workplace hazards and provide PPE as appropriate at no cost to employees. This bill would hold employers responsible for only part of the multithreat body protective gear which conflicts with long-standing law requiring employers to furnish and providers safety devices and safeguards necessary to protect their employees.

I support efforts to maximize the safety of all of California's life-saving EMS personnel, especially those that would prevent life-threatening injury. However, it is unclear how these provisions would effectively contribute toward that goal.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

14

A.B. No. 2405—Burke et al.
An act relating to housing.

2020

- Sep. 11—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.
Sep. 28—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.
Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 2405 without my signature.

This bill would declare a state policy that every individual in California has the right to safe, decent, and affordable housing. The bill would also require state departments and agencies to consider this policy when revising or adopting policies, regulations, and grant criteria.

This is a laudable goal that I share, and undoubtedly, California must continue to do more to address homelessness. Regrettably, however, I cannot support this bill considering the cost implications of such a policy, estimated at over \$10 billion annually.

Moreover, I have always maintained that our efforts must come with greater accountability and better results. Although well-intentioned, this bill is duplicative of existing efforts and may ultimately force us to expend resources without commensurately creating new housing or services for people experiencing homelessness.

I am committed to working with the Legislature and local government partners on a detailed strategy to improve behavioral health outcomes and increase housing opportunities for people experiencing homelessness. To make progress, we need more than policy goals. We need tangible funding strategies and legal requirements - this means challenging accepted norms and rejecting the status quo and identifying necessary revenues.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

15

A.B. No. 826—Santiago et al.

An act relating to public social services, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

2020

Sep. 14—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Sep. 29—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 826 without my signature.

This bill would require the California Department of Social Services to contract with a Feeding America partner state organization or other appropriate nonprofit entity to provide a food assistance benefit to those who self-attest that they are eligible for state or federal nutrition assistance or immigration legal services.

It has been my firm commitment that my Administration would support all Californians during the COVID-19 crisis. To that end, my Administration has advanced efforts to provide relief that is both inclusive of and directed to undocumented Californians.

As we continue to address the needs of Californians during the pandemic, it is prudent to consider the most appropriate and responsible means to offer support to those in need. Given the significant General Fund impact annually that this bill would have, I am unable to sign this measure.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

16

A.B. No. 995—Cristina Garcia et al.
An act relating to hazardous waste.

2020

- Sep. 14—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.
Sep. 29—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.
Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:
I am returning Assembly Bill 995 without my signature.

This bill would create the Board of Environmental Safety within the California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA) to provide policy direction to and oversight of the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC).

I applaud the author's diligence in seeking to increase transparency and accountability in DTSC. However, the bill as written falls short of the goals we have previously set for needed changes to better protect public health and safety. Without necessary funding, DTSC will be unable to deliver on the promise of this legislation - cleaning up too many abandoned sites adversely impacting the health of low-income communities across our state and better protecting Californians from dangerous chemicals going forward.

Understanding that governance and fiscal changes are both necessary and inextricably tied to achieving the mandates DTSC is currently responsible for, my 2020 Budget proposed a comprehensive approach to achieve a more transparent decision-making process through the creation of a board and restructured fees to adequately fund this Department.

AB 995 seeks to impose changes to governance but lacks necessary fiscal reform.

To accomplish comprehensive change and make progress on the more than 150,000 brownfield sites where no responsible party exists, we will need comprehensive fiscal reform to support adequate revenues. Over the last year, we were able to reach agreement with the Legislature on many of the key elements of DTSC reform. I am confident that in the upcoming legislative session we will achieve the much needed fiscal and governance reforms to better protect communities across our state.

Therefore, I am returning this bill without my signature.
Sincerely,
Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

17

A.B. No. 1138—Gallagher et al.
An act relating to business.

2020

- Sep. 8—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4:30 p.m.
Sep. 29—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.
Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1138 without my signature.

This bill would require the operator of a social media website or application to prohibit a person known to be under 13 years old from creating an account unless consent is obtained from the person's parent or guardian.

As a parent of four, I understand and care deeply about the importance of protections for safe consumption of media and technology by children.

Existing federal law requires operators of internet websites or online services to obtain parental or guardian consent before collecting personal information from a child known to be under 13 years old. States have the ability to enforce this law.

Given its overlap with federal law, this bill would not meaningfully expand protections for children, and it may result in unnecessary confusion.

As I agree with the spirit of this bill, my Administration is open to exploring ways to build upon current law to expand safeguards for children online.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR’S VETOES—Continued

18

A.B. No. 1327—Petrie-Norris.
An act relating to Medi-Cal.

2020

- Sep. 14—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.
- Sep. 29—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor’s veto pending.
Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor’s veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1327 without my signature.

This bill would remove the requirement that reimbursement rates for clinical lab or laboratory services in Medi-Cal shall not exceed 80 percent of the lowest maximum allowance established by the federal Medicare program for similar services.

This bill would result in costs more appropriately addressed through the annual budget process. For this reason, I am unable to sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

19

A.B. No. 1470—Quirk.

An act relating to cannabis.

2020

Sep. 14—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Sep. 29—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1470 without my signature.

AB 1470 would provide that cannabis goods do not have to be in final retail packaging when being tested by laboratories to ensure compliance with current health and safety standards.

This bill conflicts with current regulations promulgated by cannabis licensing authorities that prevent contaminated and unsafe products from entering the retail market. While I support reducing packaging waste, allowing products to be tested not in their final retail form could result in consumer harm and have a disproportionate impact on small operators.

I have directed my Administration to consolidate the state regulatory entities that currently enforce cannabis health and safety standards to pursue all appropriate measures to ease costs and reduce unnecessary packaging. This proposal should be considered as part of that process.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

20

A.B. No. 2100—Wood et al.
An act relating to Medi-Cal.

2020

- Sep. 10—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2:30 p.m.
Sep. 29—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.
Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill AB 2100 without my signature.

This bill would allow the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) to provide a disease management or similar payment to pharmacies for the costs and activities that are associated with dispensing specialty drugs; require DHCS, commencing January 1, 2021, to establish an Independent Prescription Drug Medical Review (IPDMR) process for the Medi-Cal outpatient pharmacy fee-for-service benefit; require DHCS to allow a Medi-Cal beneficiary to continue use of a prescription drug for a minimum of 180 days if that drug is no longer covered when DHCS implements its Medi-Cal Rx proposal; and, require DHCS to include detailed and specific Medi-Cal Rx information when submitting the semi-annual budget assumptions and estimates for the Medi-Cal program.

First, it is premature to consider a disease management payment for Medi-Cal specialty drugs. DHCS is processing the results of a recent survey of specialty drug acquisition costs to determine what types of services are provided in association with the dispensing of specialty drugs. Until the results of the survey have been analyzed, DHCS will not know whether reimbursement for disease management services, or other supplemental services, are medically necessary for certain beneficiaries, and under what circumstances.

Second, while I am supportive of additional transparency efforts regarding the implementation of the Medi-Cal Rx program, the requirements of this bill are too prescriptive. I am instead directing DHCS to post additional information on its website regarding implementation of Medi-Cal Rx to enable the public and stakeholders to assess the transition of the Medi-Cal prescription drug benefit from managed care to fee for service.

Third, while I am supportive of efforts to enhance Medi-Cal beneficiary protections, issues regarding consumer protections under Medi-Cal Rx can be addressed administratively with input from the Legislature and stakeholders, to ensure that appropriate protections and reporting requirements are in place when Medi-Cal Rx is implemented. I am directing DHCS to convene stakeholders no later than July 1, 2021, to explore options and approaches for additional public reporting of administrative hearing

MEASURE CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

A.B. No. 2100—Wood et al.—continued

decisions pertaining to outpatient prescription drug benefits, which will help assess whether additional changes to the grievance and appeals process are warranted.

Finally, DHCS has developed a Pharmacy Transition Policy for Medi-Cal Rx to allow Medi-Cal beneficiaries to continue receiving their existing prescription medications without having to get additional prior authorizations for 180 days after the transition begins. As we work toward a health care delivery system that provides coverage and access through a unified financing system, we must also align policies and processes across our public and private delivery systems to provide California's health care consumers with a consistent experience and minimal side effects. Such efforts should be considered as part of those conversations.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

21

A.B. No. 2114—Rodriguez.

An act relating to higher education employment relations.

2020

Sep. 10—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2:30 p.m.

Sep. 29—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 2114 without my signature.

This bill would require certain higher education employers to provide an arbitration or hearing officer process to challenge a termination of employment or a disciplinary action for medical and dental interns and residents. The bill excludes disciplinary actions and terminations based on academic or clinical matters, making arbitration available only for matters within the scope of representation.

These residents and interns represent our State's pipeline of medical professionals, and they have been on the frontlines of the COVID-19 pandemic. They deserve an opportunity to challenge a disciplinary action or termination of employment that may be wrongful and that could potentially jeopardize their professional career. However, I believe that the definition of "academic" and "clinical" in this bill is too narrow and does not fully consider the various criteria used in determining a resident's readiness to safely practice.

I encourage the affected parties to agree upon a definition that both protects employees' due process rights and patient safety.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

22

A.B. No. 2296—Quirk.

An act relating to drinking water.

2020

Sep. 4—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2 p.m.

Sep. 29—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 2296 without my signature.

This bill would authorize Local Primacy Agency (LPA) counties to elect to participate in a funding stabilization program, administered by the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board), to fund regulatory oversight of small public drinking water systems.

The goal of stabilizing the funding that is needed to assist LPA's with providing proper regulatory oversight of small water systems is laudable and fits into the state's overarching goal of achieving clean drinking water for every Californian. However, to the extent that LPA counties choose to participate in the new funding stabilization program authorized by the bill, the State Water Board would need to raise fees to cover the costs of the program. If participation among LPAs is high, the total funding needed from the Safe Drinking Water Account to administer the funding stabilization program would almost certainly exceed the statutory funding cap and as a result the State Water Board would be unable to implement the program.

For this reason, I am returning AB 2296 without my signature.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

23

A.B. No. 2483—Bauer-Kahan.

An act relating to county jails.

2020

Sep. 4—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2 p.m.

Sep. 29—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 2483 without my signature.

This bill would require, from January 1, 2023 to January 1, 2027, the sheriff in each county to annually compile and submit the following data to the Board of State and Community Corrections: (1) data on each of the anti-recidivism programs they provide inmates in their county jail facilities; and (2) their success rates in reducing recidivism in each of those programs.

Data collection on recidivism is important. Unfortunately, the broad nature of this bill leaves too much discretion to local governments to decide what is and what is not a recidivism program, and it could lead to a significant and costly mandate. For this reason, I am unable to sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

24

A.B. No. 2746—Gabriel et al.

An act relating to homelessness.

2020

Sep. 15—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Sep. 29—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 2746 without my signature.

This bill would require recipients of state funding for the CalWORKs Homeless Assistance Program, the Housing and Disability Income Advocacy Program, and the Whole Person Care pilot programs to submit annual data reports regarding the use of program funds to the Department of Social Services and the Department of Health Care Services, respectively.

My Administration is exploring ways to increase transparency in our homeless assistance programs and improve the efficiency in the delivery of those services to those who most need the help. This is important work, and we are dedicated to this effort. Unfortunately, the requirements of this measure are duplicative of existing requirements and would create additional, unnecessary data collection costs. For these reasons, I am unable to sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

25

A.B. No. 3005—Robert Rivas et al.

An act relating to the Leroy Anderson Dam and Reservoir, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

2020

Sep. 15—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Sep. 29—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 3005 without my signature.

This bill would modify contracting requirements and prescribe expedited California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review and regulatory processes for various state agencies to facilitate projects for the Leroy Anderson Dam and Reservoir.

Notwithstanding the importance of completing projects at the Anderson Dam, the bill sets unrealistic timelines for state entities to expedite deliverables. This will require staff to be diverted away from other critical projects throughout the state that are going through the CEQA process. Although the Anderson Dam projects are a key element of dam safety, it is problematic to set a precedent for a special process and timeline for one project that may undermine the quality of review by departments.

Furthermore, a public works project of this magnitude will have significant environmental impacts, and therefore, review through the full CEQA process is necessary.

For these reasons, I am returning Assembly Bill 3005 without my signature.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

26

A.B. No. 3164—Friedman et al.
An act relating to fire prevention.

2020

- Sep. 11—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.
Sep. 29—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.
Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 3164 without my signature.

This bill would require the Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE) to work with stakeholders and other governmental entities to develop a wildland-urban interface wildfire (WUI) risk model based on wildfire hazard severity information and a number of other local mitigating factors to determine fire risk to individual parcels and communities.

I am committed to aggressive fire prevention measures that will reliably and quickly reduce California's vulnerability to catastrophic wildfires. I commend Assemblymember Friedman for looking for creative ways to effectively study California's risk in order to advise local and state fire prevention.

Data-driven decisions and leveraging technology are critical to making our communities more resilient to the threat of wildfire. However, the amount of granular information that would be needed to provide an accurate representation of risk at the parcel level would be a significant workload for the State and local jurisdictions eventually assigned to gather the necessary data. Unlike CAL FIRE's existing fire hazard severity models, fire risk is dynamic and changes based on any number of variables such as whether rain gutters have been cleared of pine needles or dried out grasses have been trimmed away from a structure. As drafted, the bill prescribes mitigation factors and does not provide adequate flexibility for CAL FIRE to determine the appropriate factors for the dynamic risk the model is meant to evaluate.

I am therefore directing CAL FIRE to work with the Legislature to develop a strategy that would support the deployment of a wildfire risk model that allows for adequate discretion in the development of the model.

For this reason, I am returning Assembly Bill 3164 without my signature.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

27

A.B. No. 331—Medina et al.
An act relating to pupil instruction.

2020

- Sep. 14—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.
Sep. 30—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.
Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 331 without my signature.

This bill would require a course that incorporates ethnic studies as its primary content as a high school graduation requirement starting in the 2029–30 school year.

I value the role ethnic studies plays in helping students think critically about our history and understand the experience of marginalized communities in our state. This academic discipline will help prepare our young adults to become civically engaged and participate fully in our democracy. For these reasons, I already signed AB 1460, which will mandate ethnic studies as a graduation requirement for the California State University system.

I appreciate the amendments the author accepted to ensure that any ethnic studies coursework is free of bias and discrimination. I am also pleased that many more schools and districts have recently joined the hundreds of schools across our state that have adopted ethnic studies courses, and we intend to support these schools with professional development resources.

This bill, however, would require ethnic studies to be taught in high school at a time when there is much uncertainty about the appropriate K-12 model curriculum for ethnic studies. I have been closely monitoring the progress of the development of the K-12 ethnic studies model curriculum. Last year, I expressed concern that the initial draft of the model curriculum was insufficiently balanced and inclusive and needed to be substantially amended. In my opinion, the latest draft, which is currently out for review, still needs revision.

I am directing staff in my Administration to work with State Board of Education President Linda Darling-Hammond and State Superintendent of Public Instruction Tony Thurmond to ensure that the draft ethnic studies model curriculum achieves balance, fairness, and is inclusive of all communities.

In California, we don't tolerate our diversity. We celebrate it. That should be reflected in our high school

MEASURE CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

A.B. No. 331—Medina et al.—continued

curriculum. I look forward to our model curriculum achieving these goals.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

28

A.B. No. 1161—Calderon et al.

An act relating to public health.

2020

Sep. 8—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4:30 p.m.

Sep. 30—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1161 without my signature.

This bill would exempt wave basins and other artificial wave attractions from all laws and regulations governing public swimming pools and aquatic attractions, and instead, would establish its own standards for the operation and maintenance of wave basins.

While I strongly support the development of new entertainment and sporting venues, this bill lacks necessary public health and safety protections. It would exempt wave basins from a number of health and safety regulations, including worker protections overseen by the Department of Industrial Relations. I encourage the Legislature to work with the Department of Industrial Relations and the Department of Public Health to ensure that regulatory changes to address emerging artificial wave technologies include appropriate safety standards and oversight.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

29

A.B. No. 1299—Salas et al.

An act relating to peace officers.

2020

Sep. 14—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Sep. 30—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1299 without my signature.

This bill would require an agency that employs specified peace officers to provide a notification to the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) when a peace officer is terminated, or if an officer leaves the agency with a complaint, charge, or investigation of a serious nature. This bill would also require said agency to complete the investigation as specified, within one year, and notify POST of its findings. The bill would require POST to make that information available to any law enforcement agency conducting a preemployment background investigation of the subject of the profile.

I agree with the intent of this legislation - officers with a history of misconduct should not be able to resign in lieu of termination and simply move to a different department without a completed investigation or file of misconduct. But this bill does not go far enough. I am concerned this bill will slow momentum for broader decertification measures in future legislative sessions. The Legislature has signaled that it will continue its work on decertification, and I support the development of legislation with a broader approach.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

30

A.B. No. 1457—Cervantes et al.

An act relating to economic development.

2020

Sep. 8—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4:30 p.m.

Sep. 30—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1457 without my signature.

This bill would require the Employment Training Panel to establish a pilot project to enhance a regional business training center network of community college contract education centers to partner with other assistance providers servicing small businesses.

While I am highly supportive of training programs that lead to quality jobs and support businesses, particularly in the midst of our current economic climate, this bill does not have the dedicated funding to support the pilot program and could divert funding from other core workforce training programs.

Moreover, this bill is duplicative of current planning efforts by the California Community Colleges and Workforce Development Boards to create a better integrated workforce development system. I look forward to working with these partners, and the Legislature, on achieving that shared goal.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

31

A.B. No. 1835—Weber et al.

An act relating to education finance.

2020

Sep. 4—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2 p.m.

Sep. 30—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1835 without my signature.

I deeply support the underlying goal of this bill - to ensure that unspent Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) supplemental and concentration grant funds are expended on services for our most vulnerable students - and I applaud Dr. Weber for her continued leadership. However, I believe there are some fundamental flaws with the bill, and I am concerned that it cannot be implemented in a manner that is smooth or timely.

There is a simpler solution that allows us to address the objectives of AB 1835 much sooner and with more transparency. Therefore, I am directing the Department of Finance to propose language for your consideration as part of my budget in January.

As written, AB 1835 would necessitate that the State Board of Education initiate a lengthy rulemaking process to amend the LCFF spending regulations to add definitions and make other necessary changes to clarify the requirements of the bill. This process would likely delay implementation for two school years. This bill would also impose new and unnecessary procedural requirements on schools that are and will be managing unprecedented challenges related to COVID-19.

We all share the same goal, and it is critical that we act quickly to ensure that funding meant to support our state's most vulnerable students is used for that purpose. I look forward to working with Dr. Weber and the Legislature to implement this requirement in next year's budget.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

32

A.B. No. 1906—Salas et al.

An act relating to peace officers.

2020

Sep. 15—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Sep. 30—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1906 without my signature.

This bill would require the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR), in consultation with the Department of Human Resources, to establish a policy permitting a pregnant peace officer to perform alternate, light duty assignments, as specified, and would require the policy to include specified elements.

CDCR is already implementing a policy allowing staff with medical limitations, including those related to pregnancy, to request light-duty assignments. Because this bill is duplicative of existing efforts, I am unable to sign it.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

33

A.B. No. 1993—Kamlager et al.

An act relating to unemployment compensation, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

2020

Sep. 10—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2:30 p.m.

Sep. 30—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1993 without my signature.

AB 1993 would amend the definition of "employment" for the purposes of unemployment insurance coverage to include services performed by an individual in the employ of their parent, child, or spouse if that individual is a provider of In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) or Waiver Personal Care Services (WPCS). As a result, it will result in significant new borrowing of federal funds to the Unemployment Insurance fund, increasing interest costs borne by the state General Fund that were not included in the 2020 Budget and cannot be considered in conjunction with the full home health care budget.

Expanding benefits and protections for home health care workers is critical, especially in light of the COVID-19 pandemic but these expansions must be developed through the budget process when new investments can be viewed through the lens of the state's full home health care budget.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

34

A.B. No. 2054—Kamlager et al.

An act relating to emergency services.

2020

Sep. 10—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2:30 p.m.

Sep. 30—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 2054 without my signature.

This bill would establish a grant pilot program administered by the Office of Emergency Services (OES) to stimulate and support community involvement in emergency response activities that do not require a law enforcement officer.

Community organizations have a critical role to play in responding to our vulnerable neighbors and community members in crisis.

Many situations involving those who are unhoused, facing mental health challenges, have been exposed to violence, or are experiencing substance use issues may be better addressed with resources and pathways for long-term healing rather than a punitive approach. We must also address the reality that people of color and other marginalized members of our communities are disproportionately harmed by interactions with law enforcement, too often in instances where a badge and a gun are unnecessary.

The underlying goal of this legislation is important and implementing an effective solution will help our communities. Unfortunately, OES is not the appropriate location for the pilot program proposed in this legislation.

My Administration will work with the Legislature and stakeholders during the next legislative session on an implementable solution. Should a grant program be the consensus, such a pilot should be established through the State Budget process.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

35

A.B. No. 2342—McCarty et al.
An act relating to parole.

2020

Sep. 15—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Sep. 30—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 2342 without my signature.

This bill would create parole reintegration credits, which would allow persons on parole to earn credits and reduce the length of their parole term.

I share the author's goal of reducing recidivism in California by incentivizing persons on parole to comply with the conditions of parole, pursue educational and vocational goals, and participate in rehabilitation programs for which they can earn credits to reduce their terms of supervision. To this end, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) is currently in the process of implementing an amended earned discharge policy that provides an opportunity for early discharge from parole if the parolee is participating in community-based programming to address substance use disorder, education, and employment. This bill largely duplicates efforts that are currently underway at CDCR.

For this reason, I am unable to sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

36

A.B. No. 3216—Kalra et al.

An act relating to employment.

2020

Sep. 15—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Sep. 30—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending.

Legislature has 60 calendar days to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5). Legislature adjourns Sine Die on November 30, 2020. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 3216 without my signature.

This bill would provide a right of recall and retention for specified employees previously laid-off due to a local, state, or federal declaration of a public health-related state of emergency. It would require specified employers to offer the same or similar jobs to laid off employees or those which the laid off employee could be trained to do, based on seniority. The bill additionally would require employers who hire an individual other than a laid-off employee to provide that laid-off employee with the name of the individual who was hired and all the reasons for that decision.

It would also require successor employers in these specified industries, regardless of the existence of a state of emergency, to give preference in hiring to employees of the incumbent employer by seniority.

I recognize the real problem this bill is trying to fix—to ensure that workers who have been laid off due to the COVID19 pandemic have certainty about their rehiring and job security. But, as drafted, its prescriptive provisions would take effect during any state of emergency for all layoffs, including those that may be unrelated to such emergency. Tying the bill's provisions to a state of emergency will create a confusing patchwork of requirements in different counties at different times.

The bill also risks the sharing of too much personal information of hired employees. There must be more reasonable tools to effectively enforce the recall provisions.

Finally, the hospitality industry and its employees have been hit hard by the economic impacts of the pandemic. I believe the requirements of this bill place too onerous a burden on employers navigating these tough challenges, and I would encourage the legislature to consider other approaches to ensure workers are not left behind.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

CALENDAR 2018

JANUARY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

FEBRUARY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28			

MARCH						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

APRIL						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30					

MAY						
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

JUNE						
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30

JULY						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

AUGUST						
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

SEPTEMBER						
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30						

OCTOBER						
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

NOVEMBER						
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	

DECEMBER						
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

Bold and Underlined dates indicate Floor Sessions,
 Bold only dates indicate Check-in Sessions,
 Bold and Double-underlined dates indicate there was no quorum present

CALENDAR 2019

JANUARY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
		1	2	3	4	5
6	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	11	12
13	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>	19
20	21	<u>22</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>24</u>	25	26
27	<u>28</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>31</u>		
APRIL						
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	5	6
7	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	<u>22</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>25</u>	26	27
28	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>				
JULY						
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	4	<u>5</u>	6
7	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			
OCTOBER						
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

FEBRUARY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
					1	2
3	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	8	9
10	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	16
17	18	<u>19</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>21</u>	22	23
24	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>		
MAY						
			1	<u>2</u>	3	4
5	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	10	11
12	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	17	18
19	<u>20</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>24</u>	25
26	27	<u>28</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>	31	
AUGUST						
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	16	17
18	<u>19</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>22</u>	23	24
25	<u>26</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>	31
NOVEMBER						
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30

MARCH						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
					1	2
3	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	8	9
10	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	15	16
17	<u>18</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>21</u>	22	23
24	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>	29	30
31						
JUNE						
						1
2	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	7	8
9	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	14	15
16	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>20</u>	21	22
23	<u>24</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>27</u>	28	29
30						
SEPTEMBER						
1	2	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	7
8	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30					
DECEMBER						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

Bold and Underlined dates indicate Floor Sessions,
 Bold only dates indicate Check-in Sessions,
 Bold and Double-underlined dates indicate there was no quorum present

CALENDAR 2020

JANUARY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

FEBRUARY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29

MARCH						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

APRIL						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30		

MAY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

JUNE						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30				

JULY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

AUGUST						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

SEPTEMBER						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30			

OCTOBER						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

NOVEMBER						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30					

DECEMBER						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

Bold and Underlined dates indicate Floor Sessions,
Bold only dates indicate Check-in Sessions,
Bold and Double-underlined dates indicate there was no quorum present