No. 137

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE

AT SACRAMENTO

2025–26 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY DAILY FILE

HON. ROBERT RIVAS
Speaker

HON. JOSH LOWENTHAL
Speaker pro Tempore

HON. CECILIA M. AGUIAR–CURRY
Majority Leader

HON. CELESTE RODRIGUEZ
Assistant Speaker pro Tempore

HON. HEATH FLORA
Republican Leader

SUE PARKER
Chief Clerk

CRESTON WHITING–CASEY
Daily File Clerk

ALEXANDER C. TORRES
Assistant Daily File Clerk

ASSEMBLY CONVENES AT 1 P.M.

TUESDAY, JANUARY 20, 2026

ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTY–EIGHTH SESSION DAY

FOUR HUNDRED AND FIFTEENTH CALENDAR DAY

(Please report any errors or omissions in this publication to the Daily File Clerk; Phone 319–2358)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLES
Assembly Order of Business 5
Assembly Seating Chart 6
Weekly Committee Schedule 8
Legislative Calendar 9
Schedule of Standing Committees 12
Schedule of Subcommittees 13
Assembly Member Room Number and Phone List 14
Standing Committee Membership 16
Subcommittee Membership 19
Select Committee Membership 20
Special Committee Membership 22
Joint Committee Membership 23
31st Day After Introduction (Article IV, Section 8(a)) & 30-day Print Rule (Joint Rule 55) . 25

COMMITTEE HEARINGS
Committee Hearing Notices 26

SECOND READING
Assembly Measures 34

UNFINISHED BUSINESS
Concurrence in Senate Amendments 35
Governor's Vetoes 37
Motions to Reconsider 115

THIRD READING
Assembly Measures 117
Senate Measures 122

INACTIVE FILE 124

BILLS ON THE ASSEMBLY DAILY FILE (INDEX) 128

NOTE: ALL VOTE REQUIREMENTS ARE SUBJECT TO CROSS-REFERENCING OF CURRENT LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL DIGESTS.

NOTICE
AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, qualified individuals with disabilities may request reasonable modifications to Assembly policies, or appropriate auxiliary aids and services, to ensure an equal opportunity to participate in Assembly services, programs, and activities. Requests should be submitted as soon as possible, but no later than three (3) business days before a scheduled event, to the ADA Coordinator at: **Assembly Committee on Rules, 1021 O Street, Suite 6250, Sacramento, CA 95814, (916) 319-2800, ADA.Coordinator@asm.ca.gov.**

Legislative Information is Available on the Internet

California State Assembly Web site:
assembly.ca.gov

The following legislative information Web site is maintained by the Legislative Data Center:
legislature.ca.gov

Assembly Chief Clerk Web site:
clerk.assembly.ca.gov

ASSEMBLY ORDER OF BUSINESS

1. Rollcall
2. Prayer by the Chaplain
3. Reading of the Previous Day's Journal
4. Presentation of Petitions
5. Introduction and Reference of Bills
6. Reports of Committees
7. Messages from the Governor
8. Messages from the Senate
9. Motions and Resolutions
10. Business on the Daily File
11. Announcements
12. Adjournment

OFFICERS OF THE ASSEMBLY

HON. ROBERT RIVAS
Speaker

HON. JOSH LOWENTHAL
Speaker pro Tempore

HON. CECILIA M. AGUIAR–CURRY
Majority Leader

HON. CELESTE RODRIGUEZ
Assistant Speaker pro Tempore

HON. HEATH FLORA
Republican Leader

SUE PARKER
Chief Clerk

CHERYL R. CRAFT
Chief Sergeant at Arms

DIAGRAM OF ASSEMBLY CHAMBER, SHOWING

Sue Parker
Chief Clerk

HON. ROBERT RIVAS
HON. JOSH LOWENTHAL
HON. CELESTE RODRIGUEZ

Hugh Slayden
Assistant Chief Clerk

Steven Sepulveda
History Clerk

Kate Bourne
Assistant History Clerk

David Garcia
Assistant Clerk

Diego Mendoza
Assistant Clerk

David A. Bowman
Reading Clerk

1 **Gallagher** **(R)** **East Nicolaus** AD3	2 **Chen** **(R)** **Yorba Linda** AD59		3 **Davies** **(R)** **Laguna Niguel** AD74	4 **Dixon** **(R)** **Newport Beach** AD72		5 **Ta** **(R)** **Westminster** AD70	6 **Lackey** **(R)** **Palmdale** AD34		
24 **Castillo*** **(R)** **Corona** AD58	23 **Tangipa*** **(R)** **Clovis** AD8		22 **Patterson** **(R)** **Rocklin** AD5	21 **Johnson*** **(R)** **Lake Elsinore** AD63		20 **Sanchez** **(R)** **Rancho Santa Margarita** AD71	19 **Flora** **(R)** **Lodi** AD9		
25 **Alanis** **(R)** **Modesto** AD22	26 **Jeff Gonzalez*** **(R)** **Indio** AD36		27 **Hoover** **(R)** **Folsom** AD7	28 **DeMaio*** **(R)** **San Diego** AD75		29 **Wilson** **(D)** **Suisun City** AD11	30 **Ávila Farías*** **(D)** **Martinez** AD15		
48 **Wallis** **(R)** **Bermuda Dunes** AD47	47 **Hadwick*** **(R)** **Alturas** AD1		46 **Caloza*** **(D)** **Los Angeles** AD52	45 **Zbur** **(D)** **West Hollywood** AD51		44 **Bauer-Kahan** **(D)** **Orinda** AD16	43 **Quirk-Silva** **(D)** **Fullerton** AD67		
49 **Macedo*** **(R)** **Tulare** AD33	50 **Ellis*** **(R)** **Bakersfield** AD32		51 **McKinnor** **(D)** **Inglewood** AD61	52 **Ransom*** **(D)** **Tracy** AD13		53 **Bonta** **(D)** **Oakland** AD18	54 **Kalra** **(D)** **San Jose** AD25		
72 **Sharp-Collins*** **(D)** **San Diego** AD79	71 **Jackson** **(D)** **Moreno Valley** AD60		70 **Addis** **(D)** **San Luis Obispo** AD30	69 **Boerner** **(D)** **Encinitas** AD77		68 **Stefani*** **(D)** **San Francisco** AD19	67 **Papan** **(D)** **San Mateo** AD21		
			73 **Berman** **(D)** **Menlo Park** AD23	74 **Gabriel** **(D)** **San Fernando Valley** AD46		75 **Mark González*** **(D)** **Los Angeles** AD54	76 **Wicks** **(D)** **Oakland** AD14		

The number in the upper left hand corner indicates the seat number; the lower right hand number indicates the district number the Member represents

* 1st Term

NAME AND SEAT NUMBER OF EACH MEMBER

Speaker

Speaker pro Tempore

Assistant Speaker pro Tempore

Cheryl R. Craft
Chief Sergeant at Arms

Revs. Bob & Patti Oshita
Chaplains

Veronica Blevins
Assistant Clerk

Russell Tomas
Minute Clerk

Daniel Alvarez
Assistant Clerk

Victoria Garduno
Floor Analysis Director

Tammy Eller
Principal Clerk

Creston Whiting-Casey
Daily File Clerk

Alexander C. Torres
Assistant Daily File Clerk

7 **Aguiar-Curry** (D) Winters AD4

8 **Ortega** (D) San Leandro AD20

18 **Garcia*** (D) Rancho Cucamonga AD50

17 **Gipson** (D) Carson AD65

31 **Rogers*** (D) Santa Rosa AD2

32 **Connolly** (D) San Rafael AD12

42 **Krell*** (D) Sacramento AD6

41 **Pellerin** (D) Santa Cruz AD28

55 **Blanca Rubio** (D) Baldwin Park AD48

56 **Solache*** (D) Lynwood AD62

66 **Lowenthal** (D) Long Beach AD69

65 **Celeste Rodriguez*** (D) San Fernando AD43

77 **Rivas** (D) Salinas AD29

78 **Soria** (D) Merced AD27

9 **Calderon** (D) Whittier AD56

10 **Pacheco** (D) Downey AD64

16 **Nguyen** (D) Elk Grove AD10

15 **Bains** (D) Delano AD35

33 **Schultz*** (D) Burbank AD44

34 **Haney** (D) San Francisco AD17

40 **Fong** (D) Alhambra AD49

39 **Harabedian*** (D) Pasadena AD41

57 **Hart** (D) Santa Barbara AD37

58 **Schiavo** (D) Santa Clarita AD40

64 **Bennett** (D) Ventura AD38

63 **Ahrens*** (D) Sunnyvale AD26

79 **Alvarez** (D) San Diego AD80

80 **Patel*** (D) San Diego AD76

11 **Petrie-Norris** (D) Irvine AD73

12 **Irwin** (D) Thousand Oaks AD42

14 **Bryan** (D) Los Angeles AD55

13 **Elhawary*** (D) South Los Angeles AD57

35 **Carrillo** (D) Palmdale AD39

36 **Michelle Rodriguez*** (D) Ontario AD53

38 **Lee** (D) San Jose AD24

37 **Ward** (D) San Diego AD78

59 **Arambula** (D) Fresno AD31

60 **Muratsuchi** (D) Torrance AD66

62 **Valencia** (D) Anaheim AD68

61 **Ramos** (D) San Bernardino AD45

SUMMARY OF THIS WEEK'S HEARINGS

Hearings displayed are for the current week only.
(For hearings scheduled beyond this week, please refer to the committee hearings chart).

Day & Time	Committee	Room
TUESDAY, JANUARY 20		
10 a.m.	Joint Hearing: Assembly Education & Senate Education	1200 O ST.
11 a.m.	Budget	1100 O ST.
THURSDAY, JANUARY 22		
Upon adjournment of Session	Appropriations	1100 O ST.

(For a detailed view of "Offsite" listings, please refer to the committee hearing's chart).

Prepared by the Office of the Chief Clerk
General Provisions and Exemptions Relating to Joint Rule 61 Deadlines

1. Bills acted upon by a committee deadline for which amendments are recommended have two legislative days after that deadline during which they may be reported (J.R. 61(c)).
2. Rules Committees are exempt from these deadlines (J.R. 61(f)).
3. Bills which are referred to an Assembly committee (pursuant to J.R. 26.5 or A.R. 77.2) are exempt from these deadlines (see also J.R. 61(g), J.R. 61(h)).
4. Bills related to the budget under subdivision (e) of Section 12 of Article IV of the California Constitution are exempt from these deadlines (J.R. 61(i)).
5. A policy committee or fiscal committee may meet for the purpose of hearing and reporting a constitutional amendment, or a bill which would go into immediate effect pursuant to Section 8 of Article IV of the California Constitution, at any time other than those periods when no committee may meet for any purpose (J.R. 61(i)).
6. Joint and Concurrent Resolutions are exempt from these deadlines (J.R. 6).

2026 TENTATIVE LEGISLATIVE CALENDAR
SECOND YEAR OF BIENNIUM
CALIFORNIA STATE ASSEMBLY 2025–26 REGULAR CALENDAR

2026

Jan. 1	—Statutes take effect (Art. IV, Sec. 8(c)).
Jan. 5	—Legislature reconvenes (J.R. 51(a)(4)).
Jan. 10	—Budget must be submitted by Governor (Art. IV, Sec 12(a)).
Jan. 16	—Last day for **policy committees** to hear and report to fiscal committees **fiscal bills** introduced in their house in the odd-numbered year (J.R. 61(b)(1)).
Jan. 19	—Martin Luther King, Jr. Day observed.
Jan. 23	—Last day for any committee to hear and report to the Floor bills introduced in that house in the odd-numbered year (J.R. 61(b)(2)). Last day to submit **bill requests** to the Office of Legislative Counsel.
Jan. 31	—Last day for each house to pass bills introduced in that house in the odd-numbered year (Art. IV, Sec. 10(c)) (J.R.61(b)(3)).
Feb. 16	—Presidents' Day observed.
Feb. 20	—Last day for bills to be **introduced** (J.R. 61(b)(4), J.R.54(a)).
Mar. 26	—**Spring Recess** begins upon adjournment (J.R. 51(b)(1)).
Mar. 30	—Cesar Chavez Day observed.
Apr. 6	—Legislature reconvenes from Spring Recess (J.R. 51(b)(1)).

2026 TENTATIVE LEGISLATIVE CALENDAR
SECOND YEAR OF BIENNIUM
CALIFORNIA STATE ASSEMBLY 2025–26 REGULAR CALENDAR—Continued

Apr. 24	—Last day for **policy committees** to hear and report to fiscal committees **fiscal bills** introduced in their house (J.R.61(b)(5)).
May 1	—Last day for **policy committees** to hear and report to the Floor **nonfiscal bills** introduced in their house (J.R.61(b)(6)).
May 8	—Last day for **policy committees** to meet prior to June 1 (J.R.61(b)(7)).
May 15	—Last day for **fiscal committees** to hear and report to the **Floor** bills introduced in their house (J.R. 61 (b)(8)). Last day for **fiscal committees** to meet prior to June 1 (J.R.61 (b)(9)).
May 25	—Memorial Day observed.
May 26-29	—**Floor Session only.** No committee may meet for any purpose except for Rules Committee, bills referred pursuant to Assembly Rule 77.2, and Conference Committees (J.R.61(b)(10)).
May 29	—Last day for each house to pass bills introduced in that house (J.R. 61(b)(11)).
June 1	—Committee meetings may resume (J.R. 61(b)(12)).
June 2	—Primary Election.
June 15	—Budget Bill must be passed by midnight (Art. IV, Sec.12(c)(3)).
June 25	—Last day for a legislative measure to qualify for the Nov. 3 General Election ballot (Elections Code Sec. 9040).
July 2	—Last day for **policy committees** to meet and report bills (J.R. 61(b)(13)). **Summer Recess** begins upon adjournment, provided Budget Bill has been passed (J.R.51(b)(2)).
July 3	—Independence Day observed.
Aug. 3	—Legislature reconvenes from **Summer Recess** (J.R.51(b)(2)).
Aug. 14	—Last day for **fiscal committees** to meet and report bills (J.R.61(b)(14)).
Aug. 17-31	—**Floor Session only.** No committee may meet for any purpose except Rules Committee, bills referred pursuant to Assembly Rule 77.2, and Conference Committees (J.R.61(b)(15)).
Aug. 21	—Last day to **amend** bills on the Floor (J.R. 61(b)(16)).
Aug. 31	—Last day for each house to pass bills (Art. IV, Sec 10(c), J.R.61(b)(17)). **Final Recess** begins upon adjournment (J.R. 51(b)(3)).
Sep. 7	—Labor Day.

2026 TENTATIVE LEGISLATIVE CALENDAR
SECOND YEAR OF BIENNIUM
CALIFORNIA STATE ASSEMBLY 2025–26 REGULAR CALENDAR—Continued

Sep. 30 —Last day for Governor to sign or veto bills passed by the Legislature before Sept. 1 and in the Governor's possession on or after Sept. 1 (Art. IV, Sec. 10(b)(2)).
Oct. 2 —Bills enacted on or before this date take effect January 1, 2027 (Art. IV, Sec. 8(c)).
Nov. 3 —General Election.
Nov. 11 —Veterans Day.
Nov. 26-27 —Thanksgiving.
Nov. 30 —Adjournment *sine die* at midnight (Art. IV, Sec. 3(a)).
Dec. 7 —2027–28 Regular Session convenes for Organizational Session at 12 noon (Art. IV, Sec. 3(a)).
Dec. 24-Jan. 1, 2027 —Winter and New Year Holiday.

2027

Jan. 1 —Statutes take effect (Art. IV, Sec. 8(c)).

(SOME DATES INCLUDED IN THIS CALENDAR ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE)

SCHEDULE OF 2025–26 REGULAR SESSION
ASSEMBLY STANDING COMMITTEE MEETINGS

(All hearings take place in the State Capitol or at 1021 O Street, unless otherwise noted)

MONDAY

Time	*Room*	*Committee*
1021 O Street		
2:30 p.m.*	1100	Transportation
State Capitol		
3:30 p.m.**	444	Banking and Finance
2:30 p.m.***	444	Emergency Management
2:30 p.m.*	437	Natural Resources
2:30 p.m.*	126	Revenue and Taxation
* !	126	Rules

TUESDAY

Time	*Room*	*Committee*
1021 O Street		
9 a.m.*	1100	Business and Professions
1:30 p.m.*	1100	Health
State Capitol		
3 p.m.**	444	Aging and Long-Term Care
9 a.m.**	444	Arts, Entertainment, Sports, and Tourism
9 a.m.**	127	Economic Development, Growth, and Household Impact
1:30 p.m.***	444	Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials
1:30 p.m.**	126	Higher Education
1:30 p.m.***	437	Human Services
9 a.m.*	437	Judiciary
4 p.m.***	126	Military and Veterans Affairs
1:30 p.m.**	437	Privacy and Consumer Protection
9 a.m.*	126	Public Safety
9 a.m.***	444	Water, Parks, and Wildlife

WEDNESDAY

Time	*Room*	*Committee*
1021 O Street		
9 a.m.*	1100	Appropriations
!	1100	Budget
1:30 p.m.***	1100	Education
1:30 p.m.**	1100	Governmental Organization
State Capitol		
1:30 p.m.***	126	Agriculture
1:30 p.m.***	437	Communications and Conveyance
1:30 p.m.**	126	Education
9 a.m.***	444	Elections
9 a.m.***	437	Housing and Community Development
9 a.m.**	437	Insurance
1:30 p.m.**	447	Labor and Employment
1:30 p.m.***	447	Local Government
9 a.m.**	444	Public Employment and Retirement
1:30 p.m.**	437	Utilities and Energy

* Meets every week.
** Meets 1st and 3rd week of the month as called at time indicated.
*** Meets 2nd and 4th week of the month as called at time indicated.
! Upon call of the Chair.

SCHEDULE OF 2025–26 REGULAR SESSION ASSEMBLY SUBCOMMITTEE MEETINGS

BUDGET

MONDAY

Time	*Room*	*Subcommittee*
State Capitol		
2:30 p.m.*	127	Subcommittee No. 1—Health
2:30 p.m.*	447	Subcommittee No. 6—Public Safety

TUESDAY

Time	*Room*	*Subcommittee*
State Capitol		
9 a.m.*	447	Subcommittee No. 3—Education Finance
1:30 p.m.*	447	Subcommittee No. 5—State Administration

WEDNESDAY

Time	*Room*	*Subcommittee*
State Capitol		
1:30 p.m.*	444	Subcommittee No. 2—Human Services
4 p.m.*	126	Subcommittee No. 3—Education Finance
9 a.m.*	447	Subcommittee No. 4—Climate Crisis, Resources, Energy, and Transportation
4 p.m.*	127	Subcommittee No. 5—State Administration
9 a.m.*	126	Subcommittee No. 7—Accountability and Oversight

* Meets every week.

MEMBERS OF THE ASSEMBLY
1021 O STREET
SUITE AND PHONE LISTING

(Tentative; October 1, 2025)

Member	*Suite No.*	*Phone No.*
Addis, Dawn (D)	4120	319-2030
Aguiar-Curry, Cecilia M. (D)	8210	319-2004
Ahrens, Patrick J. (D)	6110	319-2026
Alanis, Juan (R)	4640	319-2022
Alvarez, David A. (D)	5320	319-2080
Arambula, Joaquin (D)	6130	319-2031
Ávila Farías, Anamarie (D)	6140	319-2015
Bains, Jasmeet Kaur (D)	5730	319-2035
Bauer-Kahan, Rebecca (D)	5210	319-2016
Bennett, Steve (D)	4710	319-2038
Berman, Marc (D)	8130	319-2023
Boerner, Tasha (D)	4150	319-2077
Bonta, Mia (D)*	390	319-2018
Bryan, Isaac G. (D)	5630	319-2055
Calderon, Lisa (D)	4650	319-2056
Caloza, Jessica M. (D)	5620	319-2052
Carrillo, Juan (D)	5610	319-2039
Castillo, Leticia (R)	4240	319-2058
Chen, Phillip (R)	4620	319-2059
Connolly, Damon (D)	5240	319-2012
Davies, Laurie (R)	4720	319-2074
DeMaio, Carl (R)	4630	319-2075
Dixon, Diane B. (R)	5330	319-2072
Elhawary, Sade (D)	6320	319-2057
Ellis, Stan (R)	5350	319-2032
Flora, Heath (R)	4740	319-2009
Fong, Mike (D)	5650	319-2049
Gabriel, Jesse (D)	8230	319-2046
Gallagher, James (R)	4730	319-2003
Garcia, Robert (D)	6240	319-2050
Gipson, Mike A. (D)	6210	319-2065
Gonzalez, Jeff (R)	4230	319-2036
González, Mark (D)	6150	319-2054
Hadwick, Heather (R)	5710	319-2001
Haney, Matt (D)	5740	319-2017
Harabedian, John (D)	4350	319-2041
Hart, Gregg (D)	6230	319-2037
Hoover, Josh (R)	4540	319-2007
Irwin, Jacqui (D)	6220	319-2042
Jackson, Corey A. (D)	6120	319-2060
Johnson, Natasha (R)	4520	319-2063
Kalra, Ash (D)	4610	319-2025
Krell, Maggy (D)	5230	319-2006
Lackey, Tom (R)	5340	319-2034
Lee, Alex (D)	6330	319-2024
Lowenthal, Josh (D)	8320	319-2069
Macedo, Alexandra M. (R)	5530	319-2033
McKinnor, Tina S. (D)	5520	319-2061
Muratsuchi, Al (D)	5310	319-2066
Nguyen, Stephanie (D)	5720	319-2010
Ortega, Liz (D)	5120	319-2020
Pacheco, Blanca (D)	4510	319-2064
Papan, Diane (D)	4220	319-2021
Patel, Darshana R. (D)	5140	319-2076
Patterson, Joe (R)	4530	319-2005
Pellerin, Gail (D)	6310	319-2028
Petrie-Norris, Cottie (D)	8120	319-2073
Quirk-Silva, Sharon (D)	4210	319-2067
Ramos, James C. (D)	8310	319-2045
Ransom, Rhodesia (D)	6340	319-2013
Rivas, Robert (D)	8330	319-2029
Rodriguez, Celeste (D)	4320	319-2043
Rodriguez, Michelle (D)	5640	319-2053
Rogers, Chris (D)	5130	319-2002
Rubio, Blanca E. (D)	5250	319-2048
Sanchez, Kate (R)	4340	319-2071
Schiavo, Pilar (D)	4140	319-2040
Schultz, Nick (D)	5150	319-2044
Sharp-Collins, LaShae (D)	4130	319-2079
Solache, Jr., José Luis (D)	5110	319-2062
Soria, Esmeralda Z. (D)	4110	319-2027
Stefani, Catherine (D)	5220	319-2019
Ta, Tri (R)	5540	319-2070
Tangipa, David J. (R)	4310	319-2008
Valencia, Avelino (D)	5510	319-2068
Wallis, Greg (R)	4330	319-2047
Ward, Christopher M. (D)	6350	319-2078
Wicks, Buffy (D)	8140	319-2014
Wilson, Lori D. (D)	8110	319-2011
Zbur, Rick Chavez (D)	4250	319-2051

* Office is located at 1020 N Street.

OFFICERS OF THE ASSEMBLY

Title	*Name*	*Location*	*Room No.*	*Phone No.*
Speaker	Robert Rivas (D)	1021 O Street	8330	319-2029
Speaker pro Tempore	Josh Lowenthal (D)	1021 O Street	8320	319-2069
Assistant Speaker pro Tempore	Celeste Rodriguez (D)	1021 O Street	4320	319-2043
Majority Leader	Cecilia M. Aguiar-Curry (D)	1021 O Street	8210	319-2004
Republican Leader	Heath Flora (R)	1021 O Street	4740	319-2009
Chief Clerk	Sue Parker	State Capitol	319	319-2856
Chief Sergeant at Arms	Cheryl R. Craft	1021 O Street	3610	319-2808

STANDING COMMITTEES OF THE ASSEMBLY

2025–26 REGULAR SESSION

AGING AND LONG-TERM CARE (AGING & L.T.C.) (7)—Bains (Chair), Ellis (Vice Chair), Arambula, Ávila Farías, Jeff Gonzalez, Blanca Rubio, and Sharp-Collins. Chief Consultant: Elizabeth Fuller. 1020 N Street, Room 153. Phone: (916) 319-3990.

AGRICULTURE (AGRI.) (8)—Soria (Chair), Hadwick (Vice Chair), Aguiar-Curry, Alanis, Connolly, Jeff Gonzalez, Irwin, and Ransom. Chief Consultant: Victor Francovich. Secretary: Nicole Willis. 1020 N Street, Room 362. Phone: (916) 319-2084.

APPROPRIATIONS (APPR.) (15)—Wicks (Chair), Hoover (Vice Chair), Arambula, Calderon, Caloza, Dixon, Fong, Mark González, Krell, Muratsuchi, Pacheco, Pellerin, Solache, Ta, and Tangipa. Chief Consultant: Jay M. Dickenson. Deputy Chief Consultant: Irene Ho. Principal Consultants: Aaron Heredia, Allegra Kim, Nikita Koraddi, Jennifer Swenson, Shiran Zohar. Secretary: Kala Tailor. Committee Assistant: Kitty Barowitz. 1021 O Street, Suite 8220. Phone: (916) 319-2081.

ARTS, ENTERTAINMENT, SPORTS, AND TOURISM (A.,E.,S., & T.) (9)—Ward (Chair), Lackey (Vice Chair), Elhawary, Jeff Gonzalez, McKinnor, Ortega, Quirk-Silva, Valencia, and Zbur. Chief Consultant: Brian V. Anderson, Jr. Secretary: Tabatha Vogelsang. 1020 N Street, Room 152. Phone: (916) 319-3450.

BANKING AND FINANCE (B. & F.) (9)—Valencia (Chair), Chen (Vice Chair), Dixon, Fong, Krell, Michelle Rodriguez, Blanca Rubio, Schiavo, and Soria. Chief Consultant: Desiree Nguyen Orth. 1021 O Street, Suite 5510. Phone: (916) 319-3081.

BUDGET (BUDGET) (33)—Gabriel (Chair), Tangipa (Vice Chair), Addis, Ahrens, Alvarez, Bennett, Bonta, Caloza, Connolly, Fong, Gallagher, Hadwick, Haney, Hart, Jackson, Johnson, Lackey, Lee, Ortega, Patel, Patterson, Petrie-Norris, Quirk-Silva, Ramos, Rogers, Schiavo, Schultz, Sharp-Collins, Solache, Stefani, Wallis, Ward, and Wilson. Chief Consultant: Christian Griffith. Deputy Chief Consultant: Nicole Vazquez. Consultants: Shy Forbes, Erin Gabel, Jennifer Kim, Patrick Le, Christine Miyashiro, Genevieve Morelos, Bernie Orozco, Guy Strahl. Secretaries: Irene Villarruz, Marco Rodriguez, Alexa Cottrell. 1021 O Street, Suite 8230. Phone: (916) 319-2099.

BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS (B. & P.) (19)—Berman (Chair), Johnson (Vice Chair), Addis, Ahrens, Alanis, Bains, Bauer-Kahan, Caloza, Chen, Elhawary, Hadwick, Haney, Hart, Irwin, Jackson, Lowenthal, Macedo, Nguyen, and Pellerin. Chief Consultant: Robert Sumner. Deputy Chief Consultant: Vincent Chee. Consultants: Kaitlin Curry, Edward Franco. Secretary: Christina Rocha. 1020 N Street, Room 379. Phone: (916) 319-3301.

COMMUNICATIONS AND CONVEYANCE (C. & C.) (9)—Boerner (Chair), Hoover (Vice Chair), Bonta, Caloza, Castillo, Krell, Lowenthal, Rogers, and Blanca Rubio. Chief Consultant: Emilio Perez. Secretary: Elizabeth Delgado. 1020 N Street, Room 169. Phone: (916) 319-2637.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, GROWTH, AND HOUSEHOLD IMPACT (E.D., G., & H.I.) (8)—Solache (Chair), Castillo (Vice Chair), Muratsuchi, Patel, Petrie-Norris, Michelle Rodriguez, Soria, and Wallis. Chief Consultant: Carla Castilla. Secretary: Ashley Bennett. 1020 N. Street, Room 359. Phone: (916) 319-2090.

EDUCATION (ED.) (9)—Muratsuchi (Chair), Hoover (Vice Chair), Alvarez, Bonta, Castillo, Garcia, Lowenthal, Patel, and Zbur. Chief Consultant: Tanya Lieberman. Principal Consultants: Chelsea Kelley, Debbie Look, Marguerite Ries. Secretary: Lauren Robinson. 1020 N Street, Room 159. Phone: (916) 319-2087.

STANDING COMMITTEES OF THE ASSEMBLY—Continued

2025–26 REGULAR SESSION

ELECTIONS (ELECTIONS) (8)—Pellerin (Chair), Gallagher (Vice Chair), Bennett, Berman, Elhawary, Johnson, Solache, and Stefani. Chief Consultant: Ethan Jones. Principal Consultant: Nichole Becker. Secretary: Lori Barber. 1020 N Street, Room 365. Phone: (916) 319-2094.

EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT (E.M.) (7)—Ransom (Chair), Hadwick (Vice Chair), Arambula, Bains, Bennett, Calderon, and DeMaio. Chief Consultant: Ryan Fleming. Secretary: Brenda Harris. 1020 N Street, Room 360B. Phone: (916) 319-3802.

ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY AND TOXIC MATERIALS (E.S. & T.M.) (7)—Connolly (Chair), Ellis (Vice Chair), Bauer-Kahan, Castillo, Lee, McKinnor, and Papan. Chief Consultant: Josh Tooker. Senior Consultants: Shannon McKinney, Naomi Ondrasek. Secretary: Margaret Buxton. 1020 N Street, Room 171. Phone: (916) 319-3965.

GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATION (G.O.) (22)—Blanca Rubio (Chair), Davies (Vice Chair), Alvarez, Berman, Bryan, Carrillo, Dixon, Fong, Gabriel, Gallagher, Gipson, Macedo, McKinnor, Nguyen, Pacheco, Ramos, Michelle Rodriguez, Solache, Soria, Ta, Valencia, and Wallis. Chief Consultant: Eric Johnson. Secretary: Karla J. Mendoza. 1020 N Street, Room 360A. Phone: (916) 319-2531.

HEALTH (HEALTH) (16)—Bonta (Chair), Chen (Vice Chair), Addis, Aguiar-Curry, Ahrens, Caloza, Carrillo, Mark González, Johnson, Patel, Patterson, Celeste Rodriguez, Sanchez, Schiavo, Sharp-Collins, and Stefani. Chief Consultant: Lara Flynn. Principal Consultants: Logan Hess, Riana King, Lisa Murawski. Senior Consultant: Eliza Brooks. Secretaries: Grant Silva, Keisha Anderson. 1020 N Street, Room 390. Phone: (916) 319-2097.

HIGHER EDUCATION (HIGHER ED.) (10)—Fong (Chair), DeMaio (Vice Chair), Boerner, Jeff Gonzalez, Jackson, Muratsuchi, Patel, Celeste Rodriguez, Sharp-Collins, and Tangipa. Chief Consultant: Jeanice Warden. Principal Consultant: Kevin Powers. Senior Consultant: Ellen Cesaretti-Monroy. Secretary: Kiersten Wall. 1020 N Street, Room 173. Phone: (916) 319-3960.

HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT (H. & C.D.) (12)—Haney (Chair), Patterson (Vice Chair), Ávila Farías, Caloza, Garcia, Kalra, Lee, Quirk-Silva, Ta, Tangipa, Wicks, and Wilson. Chief Consultant: Lisa Engel. Senior Consultants: Dori Ganetsos, Nicole Restmeyer. Secretary: Despina Demas. 1020 N Street, Room 156. Phone: (916) 319-2085.

HUMAN SERVICES (HUM. S.) (7)—Lee (Chair), Castillo (Vice Chair), Calderon, Elhawary, Jackson, Celeste Rodriguez, and Tangipa. Chief Consultant: Alexandria Smith. Principal Consultant: Jessica Langtry. Senior Consultant: Bri-Ann Hernández-Mengual. Secretary: Toni J. Zupan. 1020 N Street, Room 122. Phone: (916) 319-2089.

INSURANCE (INS.) (17)—Calderon (Chair), Wallis (Vice Chair), Addis, Alvarez, Ávila Farías, Berman, Chen, Ellis, Gipson, Hadwick, Harabedian, Krell, Nguyen, Ortega, Petrie-Norris, Michelle Rodriguez, and Valencia. Chief Consultant: Kathleen O'Malley. Principal Consultant: Landon Klein. Secretary: Stephanie Morwell. 1020 N Street, Room 369. Phone: (916) 319-2086.

JUDICIARY (JUD.) (12)—Kalra (Chair), Macedo (Vice Chair), Bauer-Kahan, Bryan, Connolly, Dixon, Harabedian, Pacheco, Papan, Sanchez, Stefani, and Zbur. Chief Counsel: Nicholas Liedtke. Counsels: Manuela Boucher, Tom Clark. Secretaries: Cindy Morante, Sergio Hernandez Alcantara. 1020 N Street, Room 104. Phone: (916) 319-2334.

LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT (L. & E.) (7)—Ortega (Chair), Alanis (Vice Chair), Chen, Elhawary, Kalra, Lee, and Ward. Chief Consultant: Megan Lane. Principal Consultant: Erin Hickey. Secretary: Kristina Calderon. 1020 N Street, Room 155. Phone: (916) 319-2091.

STANDING COMMITTEES OF THE ASSEMBLY—Continued

2025–26 REGULAR SESSION

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (L. GOV.) (10)—Carrillo (Chair), Ta (Vice Chair), Johnson, Pacheco, Ramos, Ransom, Blanca Rubio, Stefani, Ward, and Wilson. Chief Consultant: Angela Mapp. Principal Consultant: Jimmy MacDonald. Senior Consultant: Linda Rios. Secretary: Marisa Lanchester. 1020 N Street, Room 157. Phone: (916) 319-3958.

MILITARY AND VETERANS AFFAIRS (M. & V.A.) (8)—Schiavo (Chair), Jeff Gonzalez (Vice Chair), Ávila Farías, Carrillo, Davies, Irwin, Quirk-Silva, and Valencia. Chief Consultant: Patty Patten. Secretary: Graciela Amezcua. 1020 N Street, Room 389. Phone: (916) 319-3550.

NATURAL RESOURCES (NAT. RES.) (14)—Bryan (Chair), Ellis (Vice Chair), Alanis, Connolly, Garcia, Haney, Hoover, Kalra, Macedo, Muratsuchi, Pellerin, Schultz, Wicks, and Zbur. Chief Consultant: Lawrence Lingbloom. Principal Consultant: Elizabeth MacMillan. Senior Consultant: Paige Brokaw. Secretary: Martha Gutierrez. 1020 N Street, Room 164. Phone: (916) 319-2092.

PRIVACY AND CONSUMER PROTECTION (P. & C.P.) (15)—Bauer-Kahan (Chair), Macedo (Vice Chair), Bryan, DeMaio, Hoover, Irwin, Lowenthal, McKinnor, Ortega, Patterson, Pellerin, Petrie-Norris, Ward, Wicks, and Wilson. Chief Consultant: Josh Tosney. Principal Consultant: Julie Salley. Secretary: Mimi Holtkamp. 1020 N Street, Room 162. Phone: (916) 319-2200.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT AND RETIREMENT (P. E. & R.) (7)—McKinnor (Chair), Lackey (Vice Chair), Alanis, Boerner, Garcia, Nguyen, and Michelle Rodriguez. Chief Consultant: Michael A. Bolden. Secretary: Irene Reteguin. 1020 N Street, Room 153. Phone: (916) 319-3957.

PUBLIC SAFETY (PUB. S.) (9)—Schultz (Chair), Alanis (Vice Chair), Mark González, Haney, Harabedian, Lackey, Nguyen, Ramos, and Sharp-Collins. Chief Counsel: Andrew Ironside. Deputy Chief Counsel: Stella Choe. Counsels: Kimberly Horiuchi, Dustin Weber, Ilan Zur. Secretary: Samarpreet Kaur. 1020 N Street, Room 111. Phone: (916) 319-3744.

REVENUE AND TAXATION (REV. & TAX.) (7)—Gipson (Chair), Sanchez (Vice Chair), Carrillo, DeMaio, McKinnor, Quirk-Silva, and Michelle Rodriguez. Chief Consultant: M. David Ruff. Senior Consultants: Harrison Bowlby, Wesley Whitaker. Secretary: Sue Highland. 1020 N Street, Room 167A. Phone: (916) 319-2098.

RULES (RLS.) (11)—Pacheco (Chair), Lackey (Vice Chair), Ahrens, Dixon, Garcia, Mark González, Irwin, Michelle Rodriguez, Sanchez, Zbur, and 1 Democratic vacancy. (Democratic Alternate: Arambula. Republican Alternate: Ta). Chief Administrative Officer: Lia Lopez. Bill Referral Consultant: Michael Erke. 1021 O Street, Suite 6250. Phone: (916) 319-2800.

TRANSPORTATION (TRANS.) (16)—Wilson (Chair), Davies (Vice Chair), Aguiar-Curry, Ahrens, Carrillo, Harabedian, Hart, Hoover, Jackson, Lackey, Macedo, Papan, Ransom, Rogers, Sharp-Collins, and Ward. Chief Consultant: Farra Bracht. Principal Consultant: David Sforza. Senior Consultant: Aaron Kurz. Secretary: Aimee Anspach. 1020 N Street, Room 112. Phone: (916) 319-2093.

UTILITIES AND ENERGY (U. & E.) (18)—Petrie-Norris (Chair), Patterson (Vice Chair), Boerner, Calderon, Chen, Davies, Mark González, Harabedian, Hart, Irwin, Kalra, Papan, Rogers, Schiavo, Schultz, Ta, Wallis, and Zbur. Chief Consultant: Laura Shybut. Consultant: Lina Malova. Secretary: Vanessa Gonzales. 1020 N Street, Room 121. Phone: (916) 319-2083.

WATER, PARKS, AND WILDLIFE (W., P., & W.) (13)—Papan (Chair), Jeff Gonzalez (Vice Chair), Alanis, Alvarez, Ávila Farías, Bains, Bennett, Boerner, Caloza, Gallagher, Hart, Celeste Rodriguez, and Rogers. Chief Consultant: Pablo Garza. Senior Consultant: Stephanie Mitchell. Secretary: Katarina Maly. 1020 N Street, Room 160. Phone: (916) 319-2096.

SUBCOMMITTEES OF THE ASSEMBLY STANDING COMMITTEES

2025–26 REGULAR SESSION

BUDGET—

Subcommittee No. 1 on Health (6)—Addis (Chair), Bonta, Patterson, Schiavo, Solache, and Stefani. (Democratic Alternate: Gabriel. Republican Alternate: Tangipa).

Subcommittee No. 2 on Human Services (5)—Jackson (Chair), Ahrens, Johnson, Lee, and Sharp-Collins. (Democratic Alternate: Gabriel. Republican Alternate: Tangipa).

Subcommittee No. 3 on Education Finance (5)—Alvarez (Chair), Fong, Hadwick, Patel, and Wallis. (Democratic Alternate: Gabriel. Republican Alternate: Tangipa).

Subcommittee No. 4 on Climate Crisis, Resources, Energy, and Transportation (7)—Bennett (Chair), Connolly, Gallagher, Lackey, Petrie-Norris, Rogers, and Wilson. (Democratic Alternate: Gabriel. Republican Alternate: Tangipa).

Subcommittee No. 5 on State Administration (6)—Quirk-Silva (Chair), Caloza, Haney, Ortega, Wallis, and Ward. (Democratic Alternate: Gabriel. Republican Alternate: Tangipa).

Subcommittee No. 6 on Public Safety (3)—Ramos (Chair), Lackey, and Schultz. (Democratic Alternate: Gabriel. Republican Alternate: Tangipa).

Subcommittee No. 7 on Accountability and Oversight—Hart (Chair).

SELECT COMMITTEES OF THE ASSEMBLY
(SUBCOMMITTEES OF THE GENERAL RESEARCH COMMITTEE)

2025–26 REGULAR SESSION

Select Committee on the 2028 Olympic and Paralympic Games—McKinnor (Chair), Bains, Calderon, Elhawary, Fong, Mark González, Harabedian, Lackey, Lowenthal, Schultz, and Sharp-Collins.

Select Committee on Alternative Protein Innovation—Kalra (Chair), Aguiar-Curry, Alanis, Bonta, Bryan, Dixon, Haney, Papan, Rogers, Ward, and Zbur.

Select Committee on Asia/California Trade and Investment—Caloza (Chair), Ahrens, Boerner, Chen, Fong, Petrie-Norris, Ramos, Soria, Stefani, Valencia, and Wilson.

Select Committee on Biotechnology and Medical Technology—Ward (Chair), Ahrens, Boerner, Chen, Jackson, Nguyen, Ortega, Papan, Patel, Patterson, and Zbur.

Select Committee on CalFresh Enrollment and Nutrition—Bonta (Co-Chair), Sharp-Collins (Co-Chair), Addis, Aguiar-Curry, Ahrens, Connolly, Mark González, Hadwick, Lee, Ramos, Solache, and Wicks.

Select Committee on California's Mental Health Crisis—Pellerin (Chair), Bauer-Kahan, Jeff Gonzalez, Hadwick, Jackson, Kalra, Lee, Patterson, Quirk-Silva, and Schiavo.

Select Committee on California-Mexico Bi-National Affairs—Alvarez (Chair), Ahrens, Ávila Farías, Bains, Bryan, Carrillo, Fong, Garcia, Jeff Gonzalez, Blanca Rubio, and Solache.

Select Committee on Child Care Costs—Aguiar-Curry (Co-Chair), Nguyen (Co-Chair), Ahrens, Gabriel, Hadwick, Ortega, Pellerin, Quirk-Silva, Schiavo, Sharp-Collins, Solache, Stefani, and Ward.

Select Committee on Climate Innovation and Infrastructure—Rogers (Chair), Addis, Boerner, Caloza, Elhawary, Garcia, Harabedian, Hart, Schiavo, Wallis, and Ward.

Select Committee on Community Economic Mobility and Investment—Arambula (Chair), Alanis, Jeff Gonzalez, Lee, Macedo, Ransom, and Celeste Rodriguez.

Select Committee on Community Reinvestment—Papan (Chair), Bonta, Carrillo, Connolly, Davies, Haney, Quirk-Silva, Valencia, and Wilson.

Select Committee on Cybersecurity—Irwin (Chair), Bauer-Kahan, Berman, Calderon, Jeff Gonzalez, Hadwick, Harabedian, Nguyen, Pacheco, Ransom, and Rogers.

Select Committee on Domestic Violence—Blanca Rubio (Chair), Ahrens, Alanis, Gipson, Macedo, Pacheco, Patterson, Michelle Rodriguez, and Stefani.

Select Committee on Downtown Recovery—Haney (Chair), Arambula, Elhawary, Ellis, Mark González, Kalra, Krell, Macedo, Patterson, Ransom, and Wicks.

Select Committee on Effective Postsecondary Career Technical Education and Workforce Development Programs—Fong (Chair), Addis, Aguiar-Curry, Alanis, Hadwick, McKinnor, Patel, Pellerin, Soria, and Wallis.

Select Committee on Electric Vehicles and Charging Infrastructure—Schiavo (Chair), Addis, Alanis, Berman, Connolly, Irwin, Kalra, Rogers, and Zbur.

Select Committee on Housing Construction Innovation—Wicks (Chair), Alvarez, Caloza, Carrillo, Elhawary, Mark González, Hadwick, Haney, Harabedian, Hoover, Papan, Quirk-Silva, Ransom, and Wilson.

SELECT COMMITTEES OF THE ASSEMBLY—Continued

2025–26 REGULAR SESSION

Select Committee on Housing Finance and Affordability—Ávila Farías (Co-Chair), Harabedian (Co-Chair), Ahrens, Carrillo, Hadwick, Lee, Patterson, Quirk-Silva, Sharp-Collins, Solache, Wallis, Wicks, and Wilson.

Select Committee on the Independent Restaurant Industry in California—Lowenthal (Chair), Addis, Bryan, Dixon, Mark González, Haney, Hart, Macedo, Patel, Solache, and Wallis.

Select Committee on Latina Inequities—Celeste Rodriguez (Chair), Aguiar-Curry, Alanis, Bonta, Carrillo, Elhawary, Jeff Gonzalez, Ortega, Pacheco, Patel, and Soria.

Select Committee on the Master Plan for Higher Education in California—Berman (Chair), Ahrens, Fong, Hadwick, Irwin, Petrie-Norris, Quirk-Silva, Sharp-Collins, Soria, and Wallis.

Select Committee on Native American Affairs—Ramos (Chair), Caloza, Fong, Garcia, Hadwick, Jackson, Quirk-Silva, Rogers, Schiavo, Soria, and Valencia.

Select Committee on the Nonprofit Sector—Hart (Chair), Alanis, Ávila Farías, Bonta, Gipson, Hadwick, Jackson, Lowenthal, Macedo, Nguyen, and Valencia.

Select Committee on Ports and Goods Movement—Gipson (Chair), Aguiar-Curry, Boerner, Bonta, Garcia, Lowenthal, Macedo, Nguyen, Papan, Ransom, Rogers, and Wilson.

Select Committee on Racism, Hate, and Xenophobia—Jackson (Chair), Addis, Ávila Farías, Carrillo, Jeff Gonzalez, Kalra, Lee, Lowenthal, Ortega, Sharp-Collins, and Ward.

Select Committee on Regulatory Authority—Pacheco (Chair), Alanis, Bryan, Connolly, Davies, Ellis, Haney, Hart, Patterson, Petrie-Norris, Wicks, and Wilson.

Select Committee on Reproductive Health—Bauer-Kahan (Chair), Aguiar-Curry, Bonta, Harabedian, Jackson, Pellerin, Petrie-Norris, Schiavo, and Stefani.

Select Committee on Sea Level Rise and the California Economy—Boerner (Chair), Ahrens, Bonta, Caloza, Davies, Harabedian, Hart, Lowenthal, McKinnor, Rogers, and Stefani.

Select Committee on Serving Students with Disabilities—Addis (Chair), Alanis, Bonta, Carrillo, Jeff Gonzalez, Hoover, Lackey, Lee, Patel, Schiavo, and Soria.

Select Committee on Social Housing—Lee (Chair), Bains, Elhawary, Haney, Kalra, and Patterson.

Select Committee on the Status of Boys and Men of Color—Bryan (Chair), Alanis, Bonta, Elhawary, Fong, Jeff Gonzalez, Jackson, Kalra, Schultz, Solache, and Valencia.

Select Committee on the Transportation Costs and Impact of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard—Alvarez (Co-Chair), Wilson (Co-Chair), Alanis, Hoover, Lackey, Nguyen, Sharp-Collins, Solache, and Zbur.

Select Committee on Wildfire Prevention—Connolly (Chair), Addis, Aguiar-Curry, Bryan, Caloza, Harabedian, Irwin, Patterson, Rogers, Schiavo, and Zbur.

Select Committee on Youth Mental Health and Treatment Accessibility—Patel (Chair), Addis, Aguiar-Curry, Davies, Gipson, Hoover, Nguyen, Pellerin, Schultz, and Wilson.

SPECIAL COMMITTEES OF THE ASSEMBLY

2025–26 REGULAR SESSION

Assembly Legislative Ethics (6) *(Assembly Rule 22.5)*—**Stefani (Co-Chair), Chen (Co-Chair)**, Berman, Davies, Gallagher, and 1 Democratic vacancy.
Chief Counsel: Patrick Fitzgerald. 1020 N Street, Room 300. Phone: (916) 319-3752.

JOINT COMMITTEES

(See Joint Rules 36.5 and 36.7)

2025–26 REGULAR SESSION

Joint Committee on the Arts (12) *(Resolution Chapter 101, Statutes of 1984. Continuous existence.)*
—Assembly (6): **Ward (Vice Chair)**, Elhawary, Jeff Gonzalez, Lackey, Quirk-Silva, and Zbur.
—Senate (6): **Allen (Chair)**, Grove, Pérez, Richardson, Rubio, and Smallwood-Cuevas.
Consultant: Lauren Pizer Mains.
1021 O Street, Suite 6610. Phone: (916) 651-4024.

Joint Committee on Fairs Allocation and Classification (14) *(Food and Agriculture Code Sections 4531, 4532, 4533, 4534, 4535. Continuous existence.)*
—Assembly (7): **Soria (Chair)**, Alanis, Arambula, Bains, Connolly, Irwin, and Ransom.
—Senate (7): **Ashby (Vice Chair)**, Cabaldon, Caballero, Dahle, McNerney, Niello, and Padilla.

Joint Committee on Fisheries and Aquaculture (8) *(Resolution Chapter 88, Statutes of 1981. Continuous existence.)*
—Assembly (4): **Papan (Vice Chair)**, Boerner, Davies, and Rogers.
—Senate (4): **McGuire (Chair)**, Cortese, Jones, and Weber Pierson.
Consultant: Christopher Nielsen.
1021 O Street, Suite 8518. Phone: (916) 651-4002.

Joint Committee on Rules (28) *(Joint Rule 40. Continuous existence.)*
—Assembly (14): **Pacheco (Chair)**, Aguiar-Curry, Ahrens, Dixon, Flora, Garcia, Mark González, Irwin, Lackey, Rivas, Michelle Rodriguez, Sanchez, Zbur, and 1 vacancy.
—Senate (14): **Laird (Vice Chair)**, Ashby, Cabaldon, Caballero, Cortese, Gonzalez, Grayson, Grove, Jones, Limón, McGuire, Smallwood-Cuevas, Valladares, and Wiener.
Chief Administrative Officer: Lia Lopez.
1021 O Street, Suite 6250. Phone: (916) 319-2800.

Joint Legislative Audit (14) *(Government Code Sections 10501, 10502, Joint Rule 37.3. Continuous existence.)*
—Assembly (7): **Harabedian (Chair)**, DeMaio, Fong, Hart, Hoover, Quirk-Silva, and Ransom.
—Senate (7): **Laird (Vice Chair)**, Ashby, Becker, Cervantes, Cortese, Dahle, and Valladares.
Chief Consultant: Wesley Opp.
Principal Consultant: Tram Truong.
Secretary: Sheriall Smith-Brandon.
1020 N Street, Room 107. Phone: (916) 319-3300.

Joint Legislative Budget (16) *(Government Code Sections 9140, 9141, Joint Rule 37. Continuous existence.)*
—Assembly (8): **Gabriel (Vice Chair)**, Addis, Alvarez, Bennett, Jackson, Patterson, Quirk-Silva, and Tangipa.
—Senate (8): **Wiener (Chair)**, Allen, Cabaldon, Laird, Niello, Ochoa Bogh, Richardson, and Weber Pierson.
Consultant: Hans Hemann.
1020 N Street, Room 553. Phone: (916) 651-1891.

JOINT COMMITTEES—Continued

2025–26 REGULAR SESSION

Joint Legislative Committee on Climate Change Policies (10) *(Government Code Section 9147.10. Continuous existence.)*
—Assembly (5): **Irwin (Chair)**, Bauer-Kahan, Bryan, Petrie-Norris, and 1 vacancy.
—Senate (5): **Stern (Vice Chair)**, Blakespear, Hurtado, Limón, and Weber Pierson.
Senior Consultant: Sam Mahanes.
1020 N Street, Room 387. Phone: (916) 319-3549.

Joint Legislative Committee on Emergency Management (14) *(Resolution Chapter 31, Statutes of 2011. Continuous existence.)*
—Assembly (7): **Ransom (Vice Chair)**, Arambula, Bains, Bennett, Calderon, DeMaio, and Hadwick.
—Senate (7): **Stern (Chair)**, Allen, Dahle, Limón, Richardson, Seyarto, and 1 vacancy.
Principal Consultant: Cassie Royce.
1020 N Street, Room 556-B. Phone: (916) 651-1749.

DATES ON WHICH ASSEMBLY MEASURES MAY BE CONSIDERED & PRINT RULE TABLE

Article IV, Section 8 (a), California Constitution

At regular sessions no bill other than the Budget Bill may be heard or acted on by committee or either house until the 31st day after the bill is introduced unless the house dispenses with this requirement by roll call vote entered in the Journal, three-fourths of the membership concurring.

Joint Rule 55

No bill other than the Budget Bill may be heard or acted upon by committee or either house until the bill has been in print for 30 days.

These bills may be considered on the date indicated below, provided the bill has been in print for 30 days as of that date. Unless specified, the in print date is the same as the introduction date. See footnotes for specifics.

Date introduced	*A.B. Nos.*	*A.C.A. Nos.*	*31st day after introduction*
2026			**2026**
Jan. 5	1534–1546		Feb. 5
Jan. 6	1547		Feb. 6
Jan. 7	1548–1552	16	Feb. 7
Jan. 8	1553–1562		Feb. 8
Jan. 9	1563		Feb. 9**
Jan. 12	1564–1578		Feb. 12
Jan. 13	1579–1584		Feb. 13
Jan. 14	1585–1586		Feb. 14
Jan. 15	1587–1593		Feb. 15
Jan. 16	1594–1603		Feb. 16

(Assembly measures not listed above are eligible to be heard under the 31-day introduction provision).

** A.B. 1563 (Budget Bill) not subject to print rule requirement.

COMMITTEE HEARINGS

TUESDAY, JANUARY 20, 2026

BUDGET

GABRIEL, Chair
11 a.m. — 1021 O Street, Room 1100

OVERVIEW OF THE GOVERNOR'S 2026 BUDGET

JOINT HEARING
ASSEMBLY EDUCATION
AND
SENATE EDUCATION

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MURATSUCHI, SENATOR PÉREZ, Chairs
10 a.m.
1020 O Street, Room 1200

INFORMATIONAL HEARING

SUBJECT: Presentation by California Association of Student Councils

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 21, 2026

JOINT HEARING
UTILITIES AND ENERGY
AND
PRIVACY AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

PETRIE-NORRIS, BAUER-KAHAN, Chairs
1:30 p.m. — State Capitol, Room 437

HEARING POSTPONED TO WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 28, 2026

THURSDAY, JANUARY 22, 2026

APPROPRIATIONS

WICKS, Chair
Upon adjournment of Session — 1021 O Street, Room 1100

BILLS HEARD IN SIGN-IN ORDER

Measure:		*Author:*	*Summary:*
A.B. No.	34	Patterson.	California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program: local publicly owned electric utilities: large hydroelectric generation.
A.B. No.	35	Alvarez.	Safe Drinking Water, Wildfire Prevention, Drought Preparedness, and Clean Air Bond Act of 2024: Administrative Procedure Act: exemption: program guidelines and selection criteria. (Urgency)
A.B. No.	52	Aguiar-Curry.	Farmer equity: advisory committees.
A.B. No.	72	Jackson.	Electric Vehicle Economic Opportunity Zone: County of Riverside.
A.B. No.	96	Jackson.	Mental health services: peer support specialist certification.
A.B. No.	230	Ransom.	Pest control: Pierce's disease.
A.B. No.	277	Alanis.	Behavioral health centers, facilities, and programs: background checks.
A.B. No.	284	Alanis.	Law enforcement: Racial and Identity Profiling Advisory Board (RIPA).
A.B. No.	292	Patterson.	Domestic violence.
A.B. No.	296	Davies.	Career technical education: sale of equipment: registry.
A.B. No.	298	Bonta.	Health care coverage cost sharing.
A.B. No.	333	Alanis.	Recycling: waste glass product: market development payments.
A.B. No.	375	Nguyen.	Medical Practice Act: health care providers: qualified autism service paraprofessionals.
A.B. No.	442	Hadwick.	Z'berg-Nejedly Forest Practice Act of 1973: working forest management plans: harvest area.
A.B. No.	501	Papan.	Lawsuits, liens, and other encumbrances.

CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

COMMITTEE HEARINGS—Continued

THURSDAY, JANUARY 22, 2026—Continued

APPROPRIATIONS

WICKS, Chair
Upon adjournment of Session — 1021 O Street, Room 1100
continued

Measure:	*Author:*	*Summary:*
A.B. No. 623	Dixon.	Fire prevention: fuel modification or reduction projects: reports.
A.B. No. 634	Jeff Gonzalez.	Tianeptine.
A.B. No. 643	Wilson.	Climate change: short-lived climate pollutants: organic waste reduction.
A.B. No. 647	Mark González.	Abandoned recreational vehicles.
A.B. No. 664	Alvarez.	Community colleges: baccalaureate degree program: Southwestern Community College District.
A.B. No. 673	Jackson.	Unaccompanied homeless pupils: Unaccompanied Youth Support Grant Program.
A.B. No. 683	Davies.	Business entities: limited liability companies.
A.B. No. 685	Solache.	Small Business Resiliency and Innovation Act. (Urgency)
A.B. No. 710	Irwin.	Electricity: dynamic pricing: advanced metering infrastructure.
A.B. No. 713	Solache.	Public postsecondary education: student employment.
A.B. No. 748	Harabedian.	Single-family and multifamily housing units: preapproved plans.
A.B. No. 762	Irwin.	Disposable, battery-embedded vapor inhalation device: prohibition.
A.B. No. 763	Ward.	Timber harvesting: waste discharge requirements.
A.B. No. 767	Alanis.	Sexually violent predators: schools.
A.B. No. 805	Fong.	Career Apprenticeship Bridge Program.
A.B. No. 883	Lowenthal.	Elected officials and judges.
A.B. No. 939	Schultz.	Housing development: density bonuses: affordability of for-sale units.
A.B. No. 946	Bryan.	Natural resources: equitable outdoor access: 30x30 goal: urban nature-based projects.
A.B. No. 1054	Gipson.	Public employees' retirement: deferred retirement option program.

CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

THURSDAY, JANUARY 22, 2026—Continued

APPROPRIATIONS

WICKS, Chair
Upon adjournment of Session — 1021 O Street, Room 1100
continued

Measure:		*Author:*	*Summary:*
A.B. No.	1070	Ward.	Residential developments: building standards: review.
A.B. No.	1080	Bryan.	Foster care payments.
A.B. No.	1091	Gallagher.	Vehicles: license plates: 8-letter license plates.
A.B. No.	1126	Patterson.	Medi-Cal managed care plans: enrollees with other health care coverage.
A.B. No.	1159	Addis.	Student personal information.
A.B. No.	1165	Gipson.	California Housing Justice Act of 2025.
A.B. No.	1171	Patel.	Part-Time Community College Faculty Health Insurance Program.
A.B. No.	1241	Schiavo.	Student financial aid: Pay it Forward, Pay it Back Pilot Program: study.
A.B. No.	1245	Stefani.	State contracts: certification process: forced labor and human trafficking.
A.B. No.	1265	Haney.	Income taxes: credits: rehabilitation of certified historic structures. (Tax Levy)
A.B. No.	1278	Harabedian.	Mortgages: hazard insurance proceeds: interest.
A.B. No.	1359	Ahrens.	Jury service exemptions.
A.B. No.	1366	Flora.	Reimbursement for pharmacist services.
A.B. No.	1421	Wilson.	Vehicles: Road Usage Charge Technical Advisory Committee.
A.B. No.	1439	Garcia.	Public retirement systems: development projects: labor standards.

SUSPENSE

Measure:		*Author:*	*Summary:*
A.B. No.	405	Addis.	Fashion Environmental Accountability Act of 2025.
A.B. No.	464	Aguiar-Curry.	Sexual assault in prison.
A.B. No.	577	Wilson.	Health care coverage: antisteering.
A.B. No.	714	Fong.	California Private Postsecondary Education Act of 2009: exemptions: commercial driving licenses.
A.B. No.	910	Bonta.	Pharmacy benefit management.
A.B. No.	1049	Celeste Rodriguez.	California Food Assistance Program: sponsor deeming rules.

CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

COMMITTEE HEARINGS—Continued

THURSDAY, JANUARY 22, 2026—Continued

APPROPRIATIONS

WICKS, Chair
Upon adjournment of Session — 1021 O Street, Room 1100
continued

Measure:		*Author:*	*Summary:*
A.B. No.	1113	Mark González.	Federally qualified health centers: mission spend ratio.
A.B. No.	1153	Bonta.	Solid waste disposal and codisposal site cleanup: illegal disposal site abatement.
A.B. No.	1198	Haney.	Public works: prevailing wages.
A.B. No.	1204	Alvarez.	Local control funding formula: school districts and charter schools: pupils experiencing homelessness: supplemental and concentration grants: regional adjustment factors: grade-span adequacy adjustments.
A.B. No.	1235	Rogers.	California State University: skilled and trained workforce requirement.
A.B. No.	1349	Bryan.	Consumer protection: ticket sellers.
A.B. No.	1383	McKinnor.	Public employees' retirement benefits.
A.B. No.	1486	Soria.	Climate resiliency: research farms: grant program.
A.C.A. No.	4	Jackson.	Homelessness and affordable housing.
A.C.A. No.	7	Jackson.	Government preferences.

TUESDAY, JANUARY 27, 2026

HEALTH

BONTA, Chair
1:30 p.m. — 1021 O Street, Room 1100

INFORMATIONAL HEARING

SUBJECT: The Devastating Impact of Federal Disinvestment on California's Health Care System: What We Know and How the State, Health Care Providers, and Communities Are Responding

TUESDAY, JANUARY 27, 2026—Continued

WATER, PARKS, AND WILDLIFE

PAPAN, Chair
9 a.m. — State Capitol, Room 444

INFORMATIONAL HEARING

SUBJECT: Human Wildlife Conflict

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 28, 2026

BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE NO. 7 ON ACCOUNTABILITY AND OVERSIGHT

HART, Chair
9 a.m. — State Capitol, Room 126

IMPACT OF 2026 FEDERAL FUNDING WITHHOLDING FOR CHILD CARE SERVICES

INSURANCE

CALDERON, Chair
10 a.m. — State Capitol, Room 437
(note room change)

OVERSIGHT HEARING

SUBJECT: The California FAIR Plan

JOINT HEARING
UTILITIES AND ENERGY
AND
PRIVACY AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

PETRIE-NORRIS, BAUER-KAHAN, Chairs
1:30 p.m. — 1021 O Street, Room 1100

OVERSIGHT HEARING

SUBJECT: AI's Energy Impacts

COMMITTEE HEARINGS—Continued

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2026

JOINT HEARING
BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE NO. 3 ON EDUCATION FINANCE
AND
EDUCATION

ALVAREZ, MURATSUCHI, Chairs
9 a.m. — State Capitol, Room 447

OVERSIGHT HEARING

SUBJECT: California Community Schools Partnership Program

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 2026

JOINT HEARING
EDUCATION
AND
ARTS, ENTERTAINMENT, SPORTS, AND TOURISM

MURATSUCHI, WARD, Chairs
1:30 p.m. — 1021 O Street, Room 1100

INFORMATIONAL HEARING

SUBJECT: Implementing Prop 28 Arts Education Funding

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 17, 2026

BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE NO. 3 ON EDUCATION FINANCE

ALVAREZ, Chair
9 a.m. — State Capitol, Room 447

Overview of the Governor's Budget and Segments Leader's Perspective

Item No.	*Description*
6440	University of California
6610	California State University
6870	California Community Colleges

COMMITTEE HEARINGS—Continued

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 2026

INSURANCE

CALDERON, Chair
10 a.m. — State Capitol, Room 437

OVERSIGHT HEARING

SUBJECT: Department of Insurance: Sustainable Insurance Strategy: Present and Future

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 25, 2026

JOINT HEARING
BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE NO. 3 ON EDUCATION FINANCE
AND
EDUCATION

ALVAREZ, MURATSUCHI, Chairs
1:30 p.m. — 1021 O Street, Room 1100

INFORMATIONAL HEARING

SUBJECT: Coherence in Education Finance & Planning

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 4, 2026

INSURANCE

CALDERON, Chair
10 a.m. — State Capitol, Room 437

NOTE: HEARING RESCHEDULED TO FEBRUARY 18

ASSEMBLY SECOND READING FILE

1

A.B. No. 871—Stefani et al.

An act relating to elder and dependent adults.

Vote required: 41

2025

Feb. 19—Read first time. To print.

Feb. 20—From printer. May be heard in committee March 22.

Mar. 28—Referred to Coms. on B.&F. and JUD.

2026

Jan. 8—Assembly Rule 56 suspended. (Pending re-refer to Com. on JUD.)

Jan. 13—Coauthors revised. From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on JUD. (Ayes 8. Noes 0.) (January 12). Re-referred to Com. on JUD.

Jan. 15—From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended. (Ayes 12. Noes 0.) (January 15).

Jan. 16—Read second time and amended. Ordered returned to second reading.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS

2

A.B. No. 568—Macedo.

An act relating to water districts.

Vote required: 41

2025

Sep. 4—Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Assembly. (Ayes 38. Noes 0.).

Sep. 4—In Assembly. Concurrence in Senate amendments pending. Re-referred to Com. on W. P., & W. pursuant to Assembly Rule 77.2.

Sep. 10—From committee: That the Senate amendments be concurred in. (Ayes 13. Noes 0.) (September 10).

Legislative Counsel's Digest

AB 568 as amended in Senate July 18, 2025

(Pursuant to Joint Rule 26.5)

AB 568, as it passed the Assembly, included knowingly furnishing fentanyl to a minor within the definition of a serious felony.

The Senate amendments delete the contents of AB 568 and instead create the Tule East Groundwater Sustainability Agency and establish the agency's initial boundaries. The Senate amendments require the agency to elect to be a groundwater sustainability agency under the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act for the portion of the Tule Subbasin within the boundaries of the agency and require the agency to develop and implement a groundwater sustainability plan to achieve sustainable groundwater management within the territory of the agency. The Senate amendments, among other things, specify the powers and purposes of the agency, and prescribe the composition of the board of directors of the agency.

Vote: 41. Substantial substantive change: yes.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS—Continued

3

A.B. No. 1485—Macedo et al.
An act relating to taxation, to take effect immediately, tax levy.
Vote required: 41

2025

Sep. 11—Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Assembly. (Ayes 40. Noes 0.).

Sep. 12—In Assembly. Concurrence in Senate amendments pending.

Legislative Counsel's Digest

(Digest not available at time of publication)

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

GOVERNOR'S VETOES

4

A.B. No. 1387—Quirk-Silva.
An act relating to behavioral health.

2025

Aug. 25—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 11 a.m.
Aug. 28—Vetoed by Governor.
Aug. 29—Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1387 without my signature.

This bill would authorize counties to establish a behavioral health multidisciplinary personnel team to serve justice-involved (JI) individuals with mental illness and allow provider agencies to share information to coordinate supportive services.

Last year, I vetoed a nearly identical bill, stating it was both premature and duplicative of the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) CalAIM JI Initiative. Through this initiative, counties are already allowed to establish multidisciplinary teams and share confidential information among providers to ensure JI individuals have continuity of coverage upon release and access to essential health services that will help them successfully return to their communities.

While I appreciate the author's commitment to this issue, like its predecessor, this bill remains duplicative of these existing efforts. It would be more timely to assess this proposal following full implementation of the CalAIM JI Initiative and once data is available to identify any remaining gaps.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

5

A.B. No. 44—Schultz.
An act relating to electricity.

2025

Sep. 22—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 1—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 44 without my signature.

This bill would require the California Energy Commission, in consultation with load-serving entities and resource aggregators, to develop and publish methodologies for "electric load modification protocols" to adjust electric demand forecasts.

While I support expanding electric load flexibility, this bill does not align with the California Public Utility Commission's Resource Adequacy framework. As a result, the requirements of this bill would not improve electric grid reliability planning and could create uncertainty around energy resource planning and procurement processes.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

6

A.B. No. 86—Boerner.

An act relating to pupil instruction.

2025

Sep. 16—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2 p.m.

Oct. 1—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 86 without my signature.

This bill requires the State Board of Education (SBE) to adopt instructional materials for health education for kindergarten and grades 1 to 8, inclusive, by July 1, 2028, in alignment with the Health Education Framework adopted by the SBE in 2019.

The Budget Act of 2025 authorized a Curriculum Guidance Study to evaluate and improve the current state-level curriculum guidance adoption process to improve efficiency and consistency across all content areas. Proposals like AB 86 should only be considered after this study is complete and changes to the process are adopted. In the meantime, local governing boards continue to have the authority to evaluate and select a range of materials that align with the state standards and the associated curriculum framework.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

7

A.B. No. 255—Haney et al.
An act relating to public health.

2025

Sep. 16—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2 p.m.

Oct. 1—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 255 without my signature.

This bill would create a new category of "supportive recovery residences," allow up to 10 percent of state homelessness funds to support them, and set up a new certification and oversight system.

Recovery-focused housing is an essential part of a comprehensive homelessness response, and California recognizes the value these programs provide individuals seeking support and stability. Current law already permits local jurisdictions to receive funding within the Housing First framework, and recent guidance allows support for recovery housing without creating a duplicative and costly new statutory category. Establishing a separate certification and oversight process wrongly suggests incompatibility with Housing First, while imposing fees that would not cover implementation costs.

California remains committed to advancing recovery housing within Housing First. I encourage the author and stakeholders to continue working with my Administration to strengthen these options in ways that complement, rather than complicate, the state's approach. Any broader programmatic changes, if warranted, should be considered holistically through the annual budget process.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

8

A.B. No. 399—Boerner et al.
An act relating to coastal resources.

2025

Sep. 15—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4:30 p.m.

Oct. 1—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 399 without my signature.

This bill would authorize the California Coastal Commission to facilitate the development of blue carbon demonstration projects in certain coastal areas and wetlands.

California is a global leader in advancing nature-based carbon capture and sequestration solutions, having built and expanded our portfolio of actions over decades. In fact, between 2020 and 2024 alone, the state invested approximately $9.6 billion in nature-based solutions. Additionally, my Administration developed and released achievable carbon removal targets for natural and working lands as a result of Assembly Bill 1757 (C. Garcia) in 2022. That same year, the Natural and Working Land Climate Smart Strategy cataloged over 350 efforts across state agencies to deliver meaningful nature-based solutions for climate action.

While I share the author's desire to accelerate novel nature-based climate solutions, this bill creates a new, unfunded program. In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital that we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

9

A.B. No. 400—Pacheco et al.

An act relating to the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training.

2025

Sep. 24—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 1—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 400 without my signature.

This bill would require the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) to study and issue recommendations to the Legislature regarding the use of canines by law enforcement.

I share the author's goal of ensuring the safe and unbiased use of canines by law enforcement. However, this bill is unnecessary. POST has provided guidelines regarding the use of police canines since 1991. It recently updated these guidelines after convening meetings with a variety of subject matter experts in canine use, including employees of urban and rural sheriff's offices and police departments, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife, California Highway Patrol, and California State Parks. The makeup of these convenings reflected the many situations in which canines are relied upon by law enforcement statewide. As to bias in canine deployment, the POST Use of Force guidelines already begin with the directive: "Officers shall carry out their duties, including use of force, in a manner that is fair and unbiased."

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

10

A.B. No. 474—Ward.
An act relating to housing.

2025

Sep. 22—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 1—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 474 without my signature.

This bill would exclude income from nonprofit home-sharing arrangements when determining eligibility for certain state-administered public benefit programs, effectively allowing participants to retain more of their benefits while earning modest income. This bill also would create a new exemption under the Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA) for these programs, shielding them from certain state housing discrimination laws in order to facilitate their operations.

The intent of this measure is commendable, but further work is needed to address two fundamental issues. First, the provision establishing a categorical exemption under FEHA raises significant concerns. By exempting nonprofit home-sharing programs from long-standing FEHA protections, the bill risks creating inconsistencies in California's fair housing framework and causing legal uncertainty for both program operators and participants.

Second, the bill would exclude income from nonprofit home-sharing arrangements only for state-administered benefit programs, creating inherent inconsistencies with federal benefit rules. While California should not refrain from extending support simply because federal programs remain unchanged, any state-level adjustment must be designed to minimize administrative complexity and costs so that assistance can be delivered effectively and equitably.

I encourage the Legislature to work with my Administration to refine the FEHA provisions with greater precision to avoid unintended consequences and to develop approaches that reduce administrative complexity while continuing to provide meaningful support to Californians most in need.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

11

A.B. No. 524—Wilson et al.
An act relating to agricultural land.

2025

Sep. 22—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 1—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 524 without my signature.

This bill would require the Department of Conservation, in collaboration with the California Agricultural Land Equity Task Force, to establish the Farmland Access and Conservation for Thriving Communities Program to improve land access and tenure for socially disadvantaged farmers or ranchers, tribal producers, and beginning farmers and ranchers.

Land access is a critical issue for beginning and socially disadvantaged farmers and ranchers, and I support the intention of this bill to assist those groups. While this bill would authorize the program to receive funds from Proposition 4 - The Safe Drinking Water, Wildfire Prevention, Drought Preparedness, and Clean Air Bond Act of 2024 - recent budget action by the Legislature did not explicitly appropriate funding to support this program and there is no sustained funding to support the ongoing cost pressure this program would create.

In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital that we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

12

A.B. No. 689—Blanca Rubio.
An act relating to foster youth.

2025

Sep. 11—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 1—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 689 without my signature.

This bill establishes a statewide Child Welfare Disaster Response Fund and a Child Welfare Disaster Response Program to support the needs of foster children and youth and their caregivers during a disaster.

While I share the author's goal to support foster children and youth and their caregivers during a disaster, this bill would create a new, unfunded grant program. Without an identified funding source, this bill will create significant, ongoing General Fund cost pressures to fund local assistance costs annually.

In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital that we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

13

A.B. No. 699—Stefani.
An act relating to elections.

2025

Sep. 24—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 1—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 699 without my signature.

This bill would allow a local elections official to include on the ballot label, for certain local tax or bond measures, a statement directing voters to the county voter information guide for required tax rate information in lieu of providing the information directly on the ballot label.

While I appreciate the author's intent to provide local agencies with the flexibility to select an alternative tax rate disclosure option, I am concerned that this bill, as drafted, will reduce transparency for local tax and bond measures.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

14

A.B. No. 734—Schultz et al.
An act relating to environmental protection.

2025

Sep. 9—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 1—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 734 without my signature.

This bill would require the California Energy Commission (CEC) to publicly release granular biological resources data used in the completion of Environmental Impact Reports, unless the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) determines that releasing such data would pose a significant risk of harm to individuals of a species.

Currently, the CEC receives both detailed biological resource maps generated by a project applicant and similar maps that the applicant obtains from CDFW, as part of the environmental impact assessment for proposed energy projects moving through the CEC's site certification permitting programs. The resolution of these maps is governed by data-use guidelines publicly developed by CDFW to protect the precise location of sensitive species and biological resources, as higher resolution maps risk increasing poaching, collection and habitat disturbance in these locations.

Though this bill is well-intended, the risks associated with this divulgence of information and the added procedural requirement of a CDFW determination on whether to divulge such information, if found harmful, are unnecessary, add additional process complexity, and likely won't yield additional mitigations or safeguards for species impacted by proposed energy projects.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

15

A.B. No. 843—Garcia.
An act relating to health care coverage.

2025

Sep. 22—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 1—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 843 without my signature.

This bill would add detailed language access requirements from federal law onto existing state law.

Although well-intentioned, this bill is unnecessary. California already has comprehensive language assistance laws, including a detailed all-encompassing language assistance program regulation, that fulfills the intent of this bill. As a result, this bill would impose duplicative requirements, create unnecessary confusion, and add costs to the state's health care system without commensurate benefit.

In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital that we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

16

A.B. No. 915—Petrie-Norris.
An act relating to dams.

2025

Sep. 24—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 1—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 915 without my signature.

This bill would exempt from state dam safety requirements and oversight, as well as specified fees, any water storage facilities associated with an energy storage system project that uses compressed air technology.

While I support the development of novel, long-lead-time, and large clean energy resources, it is imperative that we do so with safety at the forefront. Additionally, it is essential that the costs incurred by state agencies overseeing the safety of these facilities are recovered from project developers to adequately ensure compliance with applicable safety requirements.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

17

A.B. No. 975—Gallagher.
An act relating to fish and wildlife.

2025

Sep. 24—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 1—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 975 without my signature.

This bill exempts specified Sutter County projects and activities for bridges and culverts that have been damaged as a result of fire, flood, storm, earthquake, subsidence, gradual earth movement, or landslide between January 1, 2022, and December 31, 2024, from existing specified state requirements related to lake and streambed alteration.

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) is tasked with determining if bridge and culvert project activity would substantially and adversely impact fish and wildlife resources. If found to have such impacts, CDFW must draft a lake or streambed alteration agreement that identifies species present in the area and for the project developer to mitigate the adverse impacts in conjunction with the activity. CDFW also assesses an administrative fee to support the implementation of these agreements.

Though this bill is intended to expedite damaged bridge and culvert projects, CDFW would still be required to develop individualized fish and wildlife resource protections for exempt projects, creating unknown but potentially significant General Fund cost pressures because the number and scope of projects that meet this bill's exemption criteria are not fully known.

In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital that we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

18

A.B. No. 986—Muratsuchi et al.
An act relating to emergency services.

2025

Sep. 24—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 1—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 986 without my signature.

This bill would add a "landslide" to the conditions under which the Governor may proclaim a State of Emergency and local authorities may proclaim a Local Emergency.

I appreciate the author's goal to clarify paths to emergency proclamations, but this bill is unnecessary. Under existing law, California's Emergency Services Act already grants the Governor broad authority to proclaim a State of Emergency in response to "conditions of disaster or extreme peril to the safety of persons and property." In addition to the enumerated list of exemplary events, this language encompasses comparable events such as landslides, mudslides, and related geologic hazards without the need for further statutory amendment.

My administration has exercised and will continue to exercise the authority to respond decisively to landslides and associated hazards; adding "landslide" as a separate enumerated condition in statute would not expand these powers or provide additional tools for communities or first responders. In fact, it could be interpreted to suggest that events not explicitly identified in statute are ineligible for an emergency proclamation, which would unduly limit a Governor's power to respond to disasters.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

19

A.B. No. 1273—Patterson.
An act relating to public utilities.

2025

Sep. 24—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 1—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1273 without my signature.

This bill would prohibit the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) from placing on its consent agenda a Decision in a rate-setting proceeding that significantly increases rates for certain electric customers. This bill also clarifies eligibility rules for local publicly owned electric utilities (electric POUs) in meeting compliance periods under the Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS).

The CPUC is currently bound by strict transparency, accountability, and public access requirements under the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act and several statutes enshrined in the agency's publicly developed Rules of Practice and Procedure. All these requirements provide ample opportunity for public input and comment, and provide the agency with flexibility to decide important matters before its full Commission.

While the clarification of eligibility for electric POUs under the RPS deserves attention, this bill's requirements on the CPUC's public decision-making processes risk delaying action on critical issues, including ones that the CPUC is often accused of not acting on judiciously and expediently.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

20

A.B. No. 374—Nguyen et al.
An act relating to classified employees.

2025

Sep. 22—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 3—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 374 without my signature.

This bill, starting with the 2026–27 school year, requires public school employers and community college districts to provide specified, detailed itemized wage statements to classified employees. The bill also requires employers to maintain deduction records for three years and provide employees access to employment records within 21 days of request.

While the author's goal is laudable, the associated costs of implementation are estimated at tens of millions of dollars and are not accounted for in the state budget. The bill's sponsors have identified a problem with some employers not providing their classified employees with timely wage and leave information, and I encourage them to work with school employers to find a less costly solution.

In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital that we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

21

A.B. No. 393—Connolly et al.
An act relating to state employment.

2025

Sep. 16—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2 p.m.

Oct. 3—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 393 without my signature.

This bill would require the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and the Department of State Hospitals, before entering into a personal services contract for a specified physician or psychologist position, to compare the costs of the contract with those of an equivalent civil service position. If the analysis shows that contracting is more expensive, the departments would be required to use an available civil service position instead.

While I am supportive of ideas to reduce state reliance on contractors, this measure circumvents the collective bargaining process and limits the ability of these departments to deliver critical services. The matters contemplated by this bill are more appropriately handled through the budget and labor negotiations processes.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

22

A.B. No. 620—Jackson.
An act relating to zero-emission vehicles.

2025

Sep. 11—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 3—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 620 without my signature.

This bill would require the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to consider, for any future regulation or amendment regarding the procurement or use of medium- and heavy-duty (MD/HD) zero-emission vehicles (ZEVs) by a public or private fleet, that car rental customers are responsible for a car's miles driven and any associated emissions.

In 2020, I issued Executive Order (EO) N-79-20, which directed CARB, among other things, to develop strategies to transition in-state sales of new passenger vehicles and trucks to 100 percent ZEVs by 2035, where feasible. Following this EO, CARB developed the Advanced Clean Fleets (ACF) regulation. While the United States Environmental Protection Agency did not grant a waiver to authorize the ACF regulation before the climate-change-denying Trump Administration took office, California remains committed to transitioning to zero-emission transportation. This is why I recently issued EO N-27-25 reaffirming California's leadership in promoting ZEVs to protect our future, health, and economy.

In my veto message to Assembly Bill 637 (Jackson) last year, I encouraged rental vehicle companies to work with CARB to explore the environmental and economic benefits of MD/HD ZEV rentals through existing regulatory processes. I continue to encourage rental vehicle companies to do so.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

23

A.B. No. 695—Fong et al.

An act relating to community colleges, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

2025

Sep. 24—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 3—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 695 without my signature.

This bill provides an exemption until January 1, 2030, from nonresident tuition for community college students who were deported on or after the specified date and later reenroll in either an online or in-person community college program, if the student was previously enrolled and was not paying nonresident tuition at the time of their departure.

I thank the author for his commitment to providing hope to students harmed by the Trump Administration's indiscriminate attack on our immigrant communities. I am proud of the actions and laws that we have adopted in this state to protect, educate, and recognize the value that our immigrant students and their families bring to California. Unfortunately, as drafted, this proposal only benefits immigrant students who voluntarily or involuntarily depart the U.S and thus the state, and not also residents of other states, raising significant constitutional concerns.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

24

A.B. No. 740—Harabedian et al.
An act relating to energy.

2025

Sep. 23—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 3—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 740 without my signature.

This bill would require the California Energy Commission (CEC) to adopt a virtual power plant deployment plan in the 2027 update of the Integrated Energy Policy Report. This bill would also require each electric investor-owned utility to annually report to the CEC its contribution toward meeting the state's load-shift goal.

California has enacted many policies over the past decade to facilitate the deployment of cost-effective distributed energy resources and seamlessly integrate them into our evolving and dynamic electric grid. These energy resources have the potential to lower customer electric bills, improve electric grid reliability and resiliency, and lower electric grid emissions. Virtual power plants represent one of the latest advances in coupling the output and controllability of solar photovoltaic and battery energy storage systems to deliver on these outcomes.

While I support efforts to realize the potential of these energy resources and others, this bill results in costs to the CEC's primary operating fund, which is currently facing an ongoing structural deficit, thereby exacerbating the fund's structural imbalance.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

25

A.B. No. 830—Rogers.
An act relating to streets and highways.

2025

Sep. 15—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4:30 p.m.
Oct. 3—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 830 without my signature.

This bill requires Caltrans to pay for removing or relocating utility infrastructure owned by public utility districts in Mendocino County that serve 5,000 or fewer households when such work is needed for a future state highway improvement.

While I recognize the challenges that relocation costs and infrastructure constraints can pose for communities, this bill sets a concerning precedent by merely shifting those costs to the state, directly at odds with longstanding requirements for permittees to cover the cost of relocating or removing an encroachment when needed for a highway improvement. Creating a statutory exception for one utility in a single county undermines fiscal discipline and creates inequities across California.

Instead, comprehensive and sustainable solutions should be developed in coordination with Caltrans, rather than relying on one-off exemptions that set problematic precedents.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

26

A.B. No. 833—Alvarez.
An act relating to teachers.

2025

Sep. 11—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 3—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 833 without my signature.

This bill directs the State Board of Education to update California's teacher exchange program regulations to allow entities authorized by the U.S. Department of State to sponsor teacher exchanges with other countries, including Mexico.

This bill is unnecessary as there is no statutory barrier to expanding program sponsorship for teachers from other countries, including Mexico, to California. Federal law does not require a single exclusive sponsor. Further, the author's stated goal is to help fill persistent educator shortages, which is contrary to the program's purpose to promote cross-cultural understanding and short-term professional growth opportunities.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

27

A.B. No. 1026—Wilson et al.
An act relating to electricity.

2025

Sep. 11—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 3—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1026 without my signature.

This bill requires the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to direct the electric investor-owned utilities (IOUs) to adopt standardized customer connection and energization procedures for housing development projects.

The development and growth of the state's economy, as well as the achievement of our 2045 carbon neutrality goal, hinge upon the clean electrification of the state's building and transportation sectors. Timely access to electric service is foundational to achieving these outcomes, and it is why, in 2023, I signed Assembly Bill 50 (Wood) and Senate Bill 410 (Becker). These bills require the CPUC to develop criteria aimed at expediting the connection and energization of customers to the electric grid, as well as improving information sharing between the electric IOUs and local governments. These bills also require the CPUC to establish reasonable average and maximum target customer connection and energization time periods on the electric IOUs. The CPUC fulfilled these tasks in September 2024 and is actively exploring additional efforts to further the intended outcomes of both measures.

Although this bill is well-intended, it is largely duplicative of existing efforts and risks disrupting the current public decision-making processes at the CPUC, and worse, complicates the application process that customers use to request expedited electric grid connections and electric service from the state's electric IOUs.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

28

A.B. No. 1089—Carrillo.

An act relating to the western Joshua tree.

2025

Sep. 24—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 3—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1089 without my signature.

This bill authorizes the Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) to enter into an agreement with any city to delegate to the city the taking of a Western Joshua Tree associated with commercial and industrial projects. In addition, this bill revises CDFW's authority to issue a permit for the removal of a Western Joshua Tree around single-family homes.

The Western Joshua Tree Conservation Act (Act) of 2023 was carefully crafted to balance the need to develop housing and clean energy projects in the Mojave Desert region, while creating a robust but practical permitting process aimed at protecting one of California's most iconic species. The Act currently authorizes CDFW to enter into an agreement with any county or city and delegate limited authority to permit the taking of a Western Joshua Tree associated with developing single-family residences, multifamily residences, accessory structures, and public works projects.

While I recognize the desire to expand this delegated authority to include the taking of these trees where commercial and industrial projects are sited, this bill goes too far in authorizing the blanket removal of these trees near single-family homes that will ultimately undermine Western Joshua Tree protections and conservation requirements under the Act. Furthermore, this bill would increase the complexity and time required to manage the permitting process as modified by this measure.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

29

A.B. No. 1309—Flora.

An act relating to state employees.

2025

Sep. 15—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4:30 p.m.

Oct. 3—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1309 without my signature.

This bill would require the Department of Forestry and Fire Protection to pay firefighters within 15 percent of the average salary for corresponding ranks in certain local fire departments. This measure would also require an annual survey of the salaries and benefits for fire chiefs in five specified fire departments.

While I appreciate the author's intent, this bill would create significant cost pressures for the state and circumvent the collective bargaining process. State employee salaries, along with other components of compensation such as health and pension benefits, should be determined through collective bargaining. Establishing a statutory salary floor for employees of a single department undermines this process, to the detriment of both the state and other bargaining units.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

30

A.B. No. 1329—Ortega.

An act relating to workers' compensation, and making an appropriation therefor.

2025

Sep. 22—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 3—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1329 without my signature.

This bill would make assorted changes to the Subsequent Injury Benefit Trust Fund (SIBTF), a World War II-era program created to protect disabled veterans entering the workforce. Proposed changes include incorporating a Qualified Medical Evaluator (QME) process, excluding certain medical conditions from the definition of pre-existing disabilities, and adding a statute of limitations on claims.

I commend the author for identifying the SIBTF as needing significant reform. Over the past decade, SIBTF has expanded significantly beyond its original purpose. The number of claims has skyrocketed, leading to an unsustainable future for the program. The Department of Industrial Relations estimates that, without comprehensive reform, the annual assessment paid by all employers will increase from $372 million in FY 2021–22 to $1.5 billion in FY 2029–30. As the Legislative Analyst's Office noted in a July 2025 report, workers submitting SIBTF claims today could see processing delays of up to ten years unless we take comprehensive action. Notably, other states, facing similar pressures, have chosen to eliminate their programs rather than reform them. This situation is dire and the state must act immediately.

Unfortunately, AB 1329 does not contain the comprehensive reforms necessary to save SIBTF. While some of the changes, such as the proposed QME process and the statute of limitations, are important, other changes take the program in the wrong direction. For example, including the impact on the "activities of daily living" in the determination of a prior disability contradicts the concept that the prior disability must be labor-disabling. This change would increase SIBTF claims and liabilities.

MEASURE CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

A.B. No. 1329—Ortega.—continued

To ensure this program continues to serve workers as intended, comprehensive SIBTF reform must be pursued next year. I am directing the Department of Industrial Relations and its Division of Workers' Compensation to develop a proposal for comprehensive reform to include in January's 2026–27 budget proposal. I look forward to working with the Legislature to ensure this program continues to serve California workers.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

31

A.B. No. 1408—Irwin et al.
An act relating to electricity.

2025

Sep. 15—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4:30 p.m.

Oct. 3—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1408 without my signature.

This bill would require the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) to integrate "surplus interconnection" considerations into long-term transmission planning and enhance transparency around related opportunities. The bill further requires electric investor-owned utilities and local publicly owned electric utilities to evaluate and consider surplus interconnection options in their integrated resource plans, and to use available electric grid infrastructure through surplus interconnection service to maximize interconnection capacity.

Over the last several decades, the CAISO, the California Energy Commission (CEC), and the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) have designed a highly technical structure of processes within and between each organization to thoughtfully plan the development, expansion, and upgrade of our state's electric grid. This complex planning system is constantly refined and updated to align with the dynamic and growing demands placed on our electric grid. This is especially true as we rely more on the electric grid to electrify everyday end-uses and power the fourth-largest economy in the world with clean electricity, which, as of the end of 2023, accounts for 67 percent of the state's total electric retail sales.

While I support existing efforts to maximize the existing electric grid to interconnect new and expanded energy resources, this bill risks constraining energy resource procurement and interconnection options, likely increasing customer electric costs and undermining electric grid reliability.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

32

A.B. No. 512—Harabedian et al.
An act relating to health care coverage.

2025

Sep. 22—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 6—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly

I am returning Assembly Bill 512 without my signature.

This bill would shorten the required response times for health plans and insurers for prior authorization (PA) requests submitted by providers. For standard requests submitted electronically, the timeline would be shortened from five business days to three business days. For urgent requests, the required response timeline would be 24 hours for requests submitted electronically and 48 hours for requests that are not submitted electronically.

I strongly support the goal of improving the PA process. Accordingly, I recently signed SB 306 (Becker), which seeks to ensure that enrollees receive timely responses to requests for care by taking a holistic approach to improve the PA process. Under this new law, health plans and health insurers are required to submit data to the California Department of Managed Health Care and the California Department of Insurance, respectively, regarding the types of health care services subject to PA requirements. The departments must analyze the data and then issue a list of services that should not be subject to a PA requirement by 2027.

I am concerned that this bill's significantly shortened deadlines may inadvertently increase the number of denials and force health care plans to make critical decisions with incomplete or inaccurate information. I believe SB 306 is a more balanced approach to improve the PA system as a whole, alleviate burdens for providers, and improve patient outcomes in the long term.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

33

A.B. No. 527—Papan et al.
An act relating to geothermal resources.

2025

Sep. 22—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 6—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 527 without my signature.

This bill would create a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exemption through 2030 for qualifying geothermal exploratory projects when a county or the Department of Conservation's California Geologic Energy Management Division (Division) is the lead agency, if those projects meet specified requirements.

This bill also would require the Division to combine new regulations for "enhanced geothermal systems" (EGS) wells with a current geothermal rulemaking by January 1, 2029, and, until those regulations are in effect, operators would be required to provide specified technical information when filing a drilling Notice of Intention for EGS wells in CEQA-exempt projects.

In addition to delaying much-needed regulations that are already in process, the Division would need to substantially increase fees on geothermal operators to implement the new requirements imposed by the bill. While I support the expansion of the geothermal energy industry in California as a much-needed source of baseload clean power, the increased fees caused by this bill could disincentivize geothermal development in California beyond any incentive provided by a CEQA exemption for one part of a project's permitting process.

Additionally, I signed Assembly Bill 1359 (Papan) last year, which made a series of targeted reforms to the review and approval of geothermal exploration projects. It is prudent that we understand the effects of these changes before granting wholesale CEQA exemptions with costly and complicated conditions.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

34

A.B. No. 574—Mark González et al.
An act relating to health care coverage.

2025

Sep. 9—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 6—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 574 without my signature.

This bill would prohibit health plans or insurers from requiring prior authorization (PA) for the initial 12 physical therapy visits for a new condition.

Prior authorization, when applied appropriately, is a crucial tool for containing healthcare costs, protecting patients from unanticipated billing, and ensuring patients receive medically necessary care. Further, existing law requires health plans to provide appointments within a timely access minimum standard, even when prior authorization is required.

I support the author's goals of improving the PA process and ensuring that enrollees receive timely responses to requests for physical therapy. To this end, I recently signed SB 306 (Becker), which provides a more comprehensive solution to improve the PA process. This new law will require health plans and health insurers to submit data to the California Department of Managed Health Care and the California Department of Insurance, respectively, regarding the types of health care services subject to PA requirements, and require the departments to analyze the data and then issue a list of services that should not be subject to a PA requirement. This approach strikes a reasonable balance that will lead to improved transparency in the PA system as a whole, alleviate burdens for providers, and ultimately enhance patient outcomes. It would be premature to establish limitations on the use of PA, as proposed by this bill, until SB 306 is fully implemented.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

35

A.B. No. 615—Davies et al.
An act relating to energy.

2025

Sep. 11—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 6—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 615 without my signature.

This bill would require battery energy storage facilities seeking a site certification permit from the California Energy Commission (CEC), in coordination with local emergency planning agencies, to develop an emergency response and action plan and require the CEC to confirm the project's compliance with the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 855 Standards.

I support the goal of ensuring the safety of battery energy storage facilities, which is why my Administration launched the California Battery Safety Collaborative in September 2024 to examine battery storage technologies and safety practices, particularly as California ramps up deployment of battery energy storage facilities. I remain committed to advancing additional measures to improve the safety of these facilities. That is also why I signed Senate Bill 38 (Laird) last year, which requires battery energy storage facility owners to develop emergency response plans in coordination with local agencies and to comply with the latest NFPA standards.

To that end, this bill is largely duplicative of existing requirements and mandates a new procedural requirement that risks delaying critical clean energy projects applying for permits through the CEC's site certification permitting programs, without providing the intended additional safety benefits.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

36

A.B. No. 682—Ortega et al.
An act relating to health care coverage.

2025

Sep. 22—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 6—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 682 without my signature.

This bill would require health plans and insurers to publish certain prior authorization (PA) data on their websites, and to report specified claim information to the California Department of Managed Health Care (DMHC) and the California Department of Insurance (CDI).

I support ensuring the transparency of health plan PA and claims data, which is why I recently signed SB 306 (Becker). This new law will require health plans and health insurers to submit data to the DMHC and the CDI, respectively, regarding the types of health care services subject to PA requirements, and require the departments to analyze the data and then issue a list of services that should not be subject to a PA requirement by 2027.

This bill creates duplicative and conflicting health plan reporting requirements, which increases the risk for confusion and inconsistency in implementation, and could ultimately hinder the very transparency efforts the author intends to advance.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

37

A.B. No. 738—Tangipa et al.
An act relating to energy.

2025

Sep. 23—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 6—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 738 without my signature.

This bill would exempt, until January 1, 2028, minimum solar photovoltaic (PV) requirements for residential buildings damaged or destroyed because of a disaster from the California Building Energy Efficiency Standards.

The minimum solar PV requirement is an innovative and forward-leaning policy that has been in place for five years, requiring new residential buildings to include cost-effective solar PV systems to reduce long-term homeowner energy costs, improve energy resiliency, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Codifying the broad exemption in this bill would permanently nullify these positive outcomes for homeowners while failing to consider the unique circumstances that influence rebuilding costs and efforts in different communities following disasters.

In July 2025, I issued Executive Order (EO) N-29-25, which provided a targeted, one-time exemption from the minimum solar PV and associated battery storage requirements for areas in Los Angeles recovering from the historic, catastrophic wildfires earlier this year. This EO, along with others I issued this year, recognized the scale of devastation and the need to accelerate and support local recovery efforts by providing limited and targeted exemptions from a variety of state requirements. These EOs were carefully coordinated and calibrated to facilitate rebuilding, while maintaining key safety requirements and environmental considerations. Importantly, EO N-29-25 did not exempt rebuilt structures from specified solar PV ready requirements to ensure that homes rebuilt following the fires are equipped to support future solar PV system installation. The balanced approach enshrined in these EOs has been pivotal in delivering the expeditious recovery and rebuild of resilient and safe residential buildings and structures, and should be retained.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

38

A.B. No. 1074—Patel.
An act relating to CalWORKs.

2025

Sep. 22—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 6—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1074 without my signature.

This bill would expand eligibility for the California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Family Reunification (FR) program by authorizing the program's cash aid period for longer than six months, if needed; no longer requiring that all children be removed from the home to be eligible for CalWORKs FR; and no longer requiring that all parents be receiving cash aid to be eligible for CalWORKs FR cash aid and services.

While I support the author's intent to remove barriers in CalWORKs Family Reunification, this bill results in ongoing costs that were not accounted for in the 2025 Budget Act.

In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital that we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

39

A.B. No. 1210—Lackey.

An act relating to postrelease community supervision.

2025

Sep. 24—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 6—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1210 without my signature.

This bill requires the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to notify a county probation department 90 days prior to the discharge of a person on post-release community supervision, instead of 30 days prior.

While well-intentioned, the practical implications of this bill would result in significant, ongoing costs to the state with limited benefit to public safety. There are numerous factors that trigger recalculations of an incarcerated person's release date, such as changes in workgroup assignments, program credit earnings, credit losses or restorations, and modifications to sentencing terms or case credits. Any one of these factors could lead to changes to the incarcerated person's release date, thereby triggering multiple recurring notifications to a county prior to the individual's release. Additionally, the requirements of this bill would result in significant impacts on the General Fund not included in the 2025 Budget Act.

In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital that we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

40

A.B. No. 1224—Valencia et al.
An act relating to teachers.

2025

Sep. 22—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 6—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1224 without my signature.

Through January 1, 2029, this bill increases the time substitute teachers can serve in general education or special education classrooms to up to 60 days, doubling or tripling current caps.

Research demonstrates that substitute teachers tend to serve classrooms with a disproportionate number of students with disabilities, English learners, and students from low-income families. While this bill requires local educational agencies to offer mentorship, training, and support to substitute teachers, it does not mandate their participation in these programs. As such, this bill would allow individuals to serve our highest-need students for as much as a third of a school year without minimally required training or mentorship.

I recognize the author's goal of providing more continuity in challenging staffing situations. As such, I encourage the Commission on Teacher Credentialing to utilize its existing authority to engage stakeholders and expeditiously reexamine and amend its short-term staffing pathways to support continuity of instruction, and to address the minimum levels of preparation and support required. Alternatively, the author could introduce legislation that addresses the lack of required training and support for long-term substitute teachers.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

41

A.B. No. 1324—Sharp-Collins et al.
An act relating to public social services.

2025

Sep. 23—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 6—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1324 without my signature.

This bill would expand eligibility for the California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) program; require the California Department of Social Services (CDSS), in collaboration with key stakeholders, to determine the Expanded Subsidized Employment (ESE) funding allocation; and require CDSS to conduct a CalWORKs expansion feasibility study by January 1, 2028.

While I support the author's goal of expanding eligibility for working families in poverty, this bill creates significant, ongoing cost pressures not accounted for in the 2025 Budget Act. In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital that we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

42

A.B. No. 1378—Rogers et al.
An act relating to child welfare.

2025

Sep. 22—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 6—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1378 without my signature.

This bill would require the California Department of Social Services (CDSS) to enter into agreements with tribes, at their request, to prevent entries into foster care, specifying that such agreements would be made solely for the purpose of administering prevention services funded by the federal Family First Prevention Services Act. The bill would also require CDSS to provide funding to tribes to support the cost of legal representation for a child and their parent in foster care proceedings.

I recognize the long-standing disparities faced by tribal children and their families, especially by governmental entities, and sincerely appreciate the author's intent to provide prevention services to at-risk tribal families. However, the specific approach proposed by this bill contains significant fiscal, legal, and programmatic issues. It is unclear whether the types of agreements proposed by this bill can be used to access federal funds. Requiring CDSS to enter into such agreements would create significant uncertainties about how these agreements could be implemented and how funding would be provided.

Acknowledging the volatility of the current political and fiscal landscapes, my Administration proposed an alternative approach, consistent with the intent of this bill, that could have substantially benefited some of the most vulnerable tribal children and families. Unfortunately, this alternative was not accepted, leaving this bill deeply flawed.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

43

A.B. No. 1430—Bennett.
An act relating to local government.

2025

Sep. 22—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 6—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1430 without my signature.

This bill would increase county recording base fees and make various changes to the allocation of these fees. Specifically, this bill would increase the record and indexing fee to $15 for the first page, and $4 for each additional page. For documents dealing with the ownership of land in counties - including payments of taxes or fees, deeds, mortgage documents, easements - and any documents that affect the ownership of any given property, this bill would increase the recorder fee from $1 to $3 for each additional page.

Californians realizing the dream of property ownership rely on recorder offices to handle important documents with care, making these offices critical points of interaction between residents and their government. I appreciate the author's intent to improve the efficiency of California's land title system, for both the public and county recorders, to ensure expeditious processing of real property records. However, raising these fees on Californians will disincentivize efforts to think innovatively about how to deliver recording services at a lower cost, faster, and with better customer service. I encourage the author and counties to consider ways to achieve that goal without solely relying on fee increases.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

44

A.B. No. 15—Gipson et al.
An act relating to crimes.

2025

Sep. 16—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2 p.m.

Oct. 11—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 15 without my signature.

This bill requires law enforcement agencies to review case files regarding open unsolved homicides, upon application of a victim's immediate family member, to determine if reinvestigation would result in probative investigative leads.

I strongly support the author's goal of resolving these cases and ensuring justice and peace for survivors. However, unsolved cases are more often the result of a lack of evidence than a lack of diligence. To meet the timelines mandated under this bill, most law enforcement agencies would have to hire new personnel for case file review. Those that could not afford to do so would have to divert law enforcement personnel away from investigating active cases, including active homicides, to instead review case files. Diverting resources from active cases could lead to more unsolved crimes, not fewer, inadvertently undermining the intent of this bill.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

45

A.B. No. 81—Ta et al.
An act relating to veterans.

2025

Sep. 11—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 11—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 81 without my signature.

This bill would require the California Department of Veterans Affairs to establish a program to fund an academic study of mental health among women veterans in California and, by June 30, 2029, submit a report to the Legislature summarizing the findings and recommendations.

I support the author's goal of furthering research into the mental health of women veterans in our state, but this bill would lead to significant fiscal costs outside of the budget. When I vetoed a similar measure last year, I encouraged the author to secure funding for this measure within the annual budget process.

In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital that we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

46

A.B. No. 93—Papan.
An act relating to water.

2025

Sep. 23—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 11—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 93 without my signature.

This bill requires data centers, when applying for an initial business license, to provide to their water supplier an estimate of expected water use. It further requires data centers, when applying for a renewal of a business license, to provide their water supplier with a report on annual water use.

The widespread adoption of artificial intelligence technologies is driving an unprecedented demand for data center capacity throughout the nation. As the global epicenter of the technology sector, California is well positioned to support the development of this critically important digital infrastructure in the state.

While I appreciate the author's intent, I am reluctant to impose rigid reporting requirements about operational details on this sector without understanding the full impact on businesses and the consumers of their technology.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

47

A.B. No. 265—Caloza et al.
An act relating to economic recovery.

2025

Sep. 24—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 11—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 265 without my signature.

This bill establishes an unfunded program within the Office of the Small Business Advocate to provide competitive grants to small businesses and eligible nonprofit organizations directly affected by a declared state of emergency.

I wholeheartedly support the author's intent to assist small businesses in disaster-affected areas in their rebuild and recovery efforts. Just two weeks after the deadly Los Angeles wildfires began in January, I signed legislation providing over $2.5 billion in disaster relief to immediately help bolster emergency response and jumpstart recovery efforts. In the months since, the state has also administered over $3 billion in federal small business assistance, including more than $325 million in loans to repair or replace physical property, and more than $235 million in economic injury disaster loans to help businesses meet their financial obligations.

While well-intentioned, an unfunded grant program is of little use to small businesses and nonprofits without dedicated resources provided through the budget process. In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital that we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

48

A.B. No. 632—Hart et al.
An act relating to local government.

2025

Sep. 23—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 11—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 632 without my signature.

This bill would allow a local agency, upon the exhaustion of administrative and judicial appeals and specified noticing procedures, to obtain a final judgment and impose liens to enforce administrative fines and penalties for violations of specified cannabis laws, housing laws, and fire hazard laws.

I recognize the challenges local governments face in enforcing code violations for illegal cannabis grows, which is why I signed AB 1684 (Maienschein, Chapter 477, Statutes of 2023) to strengthen local penalty and enforcement authority for unlicensed cannabis activity.

However, I am concerned about expanding local authority to place liens on private property. Balancing the due process rights of homeowners with a local government's authority to levy nuisance abatement fines is crucial. I believe existing law strikes the right balance.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

49

A.B. No. 696—Ransom et al.
An act relating to lithium-ion batteries.

2025

Sep. 9—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 11—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 696 without my signature.

This bill requires the Department of Forestry and Fire Protection's Office of the State Fire Marshal to convene a Lithium-Ion Car Battery Advisory Group by December 31, 2026.

Last year, I established the California Battery Safety Collaborative (Collaborative) to examine battery energy storage technologies and safety considerations, which includes the Office of the State Fire Marshal and several other state agencies and departments.

The establishment of a new advisory group, as required by this bill, would be uncoordinated with the work being done through the Collaborative and it is largely duplicative of the work already completed by the Lithium Ion Car Battery Recycling Advisory Group. In furtherance of my commitment to improve the safety of these technologies, I am directing the Collaborative to expand its state agency membership and scope to assess and address the safety risks of not just stationary, but also mobile, battery energy storage technologies.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

50

A.B. No. 823—Boerner et al.
An act relating to solid waste.

2025

Sep. 9—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 11—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 823 without my signature.

This bill would expand the 2015 Plastic Microbeads Nuisance Prevention Law, which bans plastic microbeads in personal care products that are rinsed off, to also ban the sale of non-rinse-off products, certain cleaning products, and personal care products containing plastic glitter.

I support efforts to protect California's waterways, ecosystems, and public health from the real and significant harms caused by the prevalence of microplastics in our environment. However, I am not supportive of the approach this bill takes to ban specific ingredients, such as glitter, which may incidentally result in a prohibition on biodegradable or natural alternatives.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

51

A.B. No. 1042—Ransom et al.
An act relating to bees.

2025

Sep. 11—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 11—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1042 without my signature.

This bill would allow the California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA) to establish the Managed Honeybee Health Program to fund health interventions for managed honeybees according to priorities set by CDFA.

I appreciate the author's interest in protecting our honeybee population, but this bill would create an unfunded grant program and, therefore, should be considered as part of the annual budget process.

In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital that we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

52

A.B. No. 1200—Caloza.
An act relating to emergency services.

2025

Sep. 15—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4:30 p.m.

Oct. 11—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1200 without my signature.

This bill would require the California Office of Emergency Services (Cal OES) to biennially convene key personnel and agencies to participate in a tabletop exercise and require Cal OES, in cooperation with California Volunteers, to annually conduct community disaster preparedness training in vulnerable regions of the state.

I appreciate the author's commitment to disaster readiness. However, this bill is duplicative of existing preparedness measures already in place to enhance disaster readiness. Cal OES already conducts targeted, multi-jurisdictional, and tabletop exercises that are strategically aligned with existing plans and capabilities. The exercises envisioned in this bill are overly broad and lack clarity. As a result, requiring these exercises would unintentionally undermine the effectiveness of California's current emergency preparedness efforts. Additionally, this bill would result in new ongoing costs in the millions of dollars to implement.

In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

53

A.B. No. 1225—Bennett et al.
An act relating to state parks.

2025

Sep. 15—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4:30 p.m.

Oct. 11—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1225 without my signature.

This bill would require the Department of Parks and Recreation (Department) to establish an Accessibility Advisory Committee to make recommendations to the Department on improving accessibility throughout the State Park System.

While I support enhancing accessibility to recreational opportunities in state parks, this bill would be duplicative of the Department's existing Accessibility Division. Moreover, the Department has completed hundreds of projects throughout the state park system, removing architectural and programmatic access barriers and constructing accessible trails pursuant to a court-approved timeline under a long-standing consent decree.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

54

A.B. No. 1326—Ahrens et al.
An act relating to personal protective equipment.

2025

Sep. 16—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2 p.m.

Oct. 11—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1326 without my signature.

This bill states an individual has the right to wear a mask in public spaces and workplaces for the purpose of protecting their health or the public's health, except under specified situations.

I appreciate the author's goal of ensuring Californians may wear a mask for public health reasons, but I am not convinced this measure is necessary. Existing law appears sufficient to allow a person to wear a mask for health reasons in most public situations. This bill creates a sweeping new policy with numerous exceptions, and may create confusion about the application of existing state and federal legal protections.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

55

A.B. No. 1332—Ahrens.
An act relating to cannabis.

2025

Sep. 23—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 11—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1332 without my signature.

This bill would authorize a limited number of cannabis microbusinesses to ship certain medicinal cannabis products directly to patients using a common carrier.

While I appreciate the author's goal of expanding patient access to medical cannabis, the proposed direct-shipping program would be burdensome and overly complex to administer. The Department of Cannabis Control (DCC) will need to revamp the California Cannabis Track-and-Trace System, which will take significant resources and time. Moreover, this measure includes numerous restrictions on eligible products – many of which are unclear, overly narrow, or unworkable, adding to the implementation challenge. Given that this measure allows just two businesses to ship medical cannabis directly to patients, the costs of administering this program far outweigh the possible benefits to patients.

I remain open to working with the Legislature on strategies to effectively advance equitable access to safe and regulated cannabis. However, this measure would not achieve that goal while shifting limited resources away from DCC's other priorities.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

56

A.B. No. 1373—Soria.
An act relating to water.

2025

Sep. 24—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 11—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1373 without my signature.

This bill would require the State Water Resources Control Board (Board) to hold a public hearing at least 21 days prior to acting on an application for a water quality certification for a license to operate a hydroelectric facility.

While well-intentioned, this bill is unnecessary. The Board's certification process already includes opportunities for input by members of the public and Board members, including a mechanism for Board members to reconsider decisions initially delegated to staff. I am, however, directing the Board to work with the relevant stakeholders to explore ways to make this process more efficient, consistent with the intent of this bill.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

57

A.B. No. 7—Bryan et al.
An act relating to postsecondary education.

2025

Sep. 24—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 7 without my signature.

This bill clarifies, to the extent permitted by federal law, that California public and private postsecondary educational institutions may consider providing a preference in admissions to an applicant who is a descendant of slavery.

I thank the author for his deep commitment to addressing disparities in education stemming from the legacy of slavery. These institutions already have the authority to determine whether to provide admissions preferences like this one, and accordingly, this bill is unnecessary. I encourage the institutions referenced in this bill to review and determine how, when, and if this type of preference can be adopted.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

58

A.B. No. 57—McKinnor et al.
An act relating to housing.

2025

Sep. 16—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 57 without my signature.

This bill would require that at least 10 percent of the California Dream for All Program's funds be reserved for descendants of formerly enslaved individuals, contingent on the enactment of Senate Bill 518 and the associated certification process created and administered by a new Bureau for Descendants of American Slavery.

I appreciate the author's leadership on this important issue. However, creating an ancestry-based set-aside presents legal risks and could jeopardize CalHFA's access to federal mortgage markets that are critical to providing housing assistance for thousands of Californians each year.

California has made historic investments to expand equitable access to homeownership, including through the Dream for All Program, which provides downpayment assistance to first-time buyers where at least one borrower is a first-generation homebuyer. In the last fiscal year, CalHFA data across all downpayment assistance programs show encouraging results, with Black or African American borrowers represented at rates well above their share of California homeowners.

Building on this progress, the upcoming funding round for new Dream for All applicants will include a programmatic set-aside designed to address long-standing inequality. In addition to the program's core eligibility criteria, 10 percent of Dream for All funds will be reserved for borrowers in Qualified Census Tracts, as defined by area median income, helping direct resources to areas where residents are more likely to face systemic inequities in lending, wealth-building, and housing access. This approach advances the equity goals of this measure while minimizing legal risks and protecting California's continued access to resources essential for expanding affordability and opportunity.

For these reasons, I am returning this bill without my signature.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

59

A.B. No. 62—McKinnor et al.
An act relating to state government.

2025

Sep. 16—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 62 without my signature.

This bill would require the Civil Rights Department (CRD) to establish a process through which a victim of racially motivated eminent domain, or their descendants, could obtain restitution for the value of the property wrongfully taken from them.

I thank the author for seeking to right these historic injustices. My administration shares the commitment to dismantle systemic racism, including by addressing the wealth gap. However, CRD lacks the crucial expertise and immense resources required to successfully implement this bill. CRD, a prosecutorial agency, would need to establish an entirely new adjudicatory structure, inclusive of administrative law judges, in order to adjudicate property disputes between governmental entities and individuals. These determinations would be complex, involve multiple parties, competing interests, and the gathering of historical evidence that would be difficult to obtain or verify. Given the substantial resources this would require, this bill would limit CRD's ability to fulfill its core mission of maintaining and strengthening civil rights protections for Californians - a vital mission that grows more necessary every day.

In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital that we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

60

A.B. No. 76—Alvarez.
An act relating to land use.

2025

Sep. 22—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 76 without my signature.

This bill reduces the affordable housing requirements for the University Innovation District (UID) in Chula Vista to qualify as exempt surplus land under the Surplus Land Act (SLA). Current law requires that at least 25 percent of all units be affordable to lower-income households. This measure instead excludes student, faculty, and university employee housing from those calculations – lowering the number of affordable homes required.

I support the vision of a University Innovation District in Chula Vista, as reflected in my signing of AB 662, which establishes a task force studying a mixed-use educational facility. But this effort should not come at the expense of the SLA's affordability framework, which since 2022 has been responsible for unlocking more than 34,000 homes - including 21,500 affordable units. Nor should it conflict with legislative efforts to expand affordable workforce housing by excluding faculty and staff units from affordability requirements.

With the AB 662 task force work set to begin, it is premature to alter this exemption before the task force completes its evaluation. Any subsequent proposal must ensure affordability requirements are maintained and the underlying use is consistent with the SLA's purpose of delivering broad public benefit.

For these reasons, I am returning this bill without my signature.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

61

A.B. No. 239—Harabedian et al.

An act relating to housing, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

2025

Sep. 15—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4:30 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 239 without my signature.

This bill would require the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) to convene a state-led County of Los Angeles disaster housing task force to coordinate and streamline efforts to rebuild housing in the communities impacted by the 2025 Los Angeles Wildfires.

I appreciate the author's intent to provide a strong and coordinated recovery in Los Angeles County. However, California already has a robust disaster housing coordination structure that delivers on this very goal. Following the Eaton and Palisades Fires, HCD and Cal OES created a Housing Task Force within the Joint Field Office, regularly convening state, federal, and local partners to accelerate delivery of resources and provide technical assistance in rebuilding efforts. That task force has launched resiliency centers, held rebuild workshops, and is actively advancing housing recovery for affected communities. This same framework has guided recovery from countless disasters - from the Camp Fire to the North Complex Fire and beyond - consistently showing that California has the tools and partnerships needed to drive recovery.

This bill would duplicate longstanding work already underway, create additional costs and reporting requirements, and limit the flexibility that has proven essential in disaster response.

My Administration remains fully committed to supporting Los Angeles County's wildfire recovery, and we will continue to build on the progress achieved through the existing task force framework by pursuing efforts that are additive to, rather than duplicative of, this proven structure.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

62

A.B. No. 432—Bauer-Kahan et al.
An act relating to menopause.

2025

Sep. 22—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

Dear Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 432 without my signature.

This bill would require certain health plan and health insurer contracts to cover the costs of evaluation and treatment options for symptoms of perimenopause and menopause, as deemed medically necessary by a health care provider without utilization management (UM).

Last year, I vetoed a substantially similar bill, stating that it would limit the ability of health plans to engage in practices that have been shown to ensure appropriate care while limiting unnecessary costs. That is still the case with this bill - despite my call for a more tailored solution. This bill's expansive coverage mandate, in conjunction with a prohibition on UM, is too far-reaching. Health plans use UM to ensure enrollees receive the right care at the right time, which is especially important when there are new and emerging treatments.

I strongly support the author's goal of ensuring quality care and access to evaluation and treatment of perimenopause and menopause symptoms. However, these factors, along with a lack of clarity in AB 432 regarding undefined terms, still raise significant cost and implementation concerns. The Legislature has twice now sent me a bill that does not strike the important, and achievable, balance between expanding access to this essential treatment and the affordability of care. This is especially important as consumers are facing double-digit rate increases in their health care premiums across the nation.

As such, I am directing the California Health and Human Services Agency to identify additional policy changes or investments to address perimenopause and menopause evaluation and treatments for consideration as part of next year's budget process.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

63

A.B. No. 449—Jackson.
An act relating to civil rights.

2025

Sep. 16—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 449 without my signature.

This bill requires the Civil Rights Department (CRD) to create and implement statewide and regional campaigns utilizing radio, social media, and television to combat hate violence against specific communities, and discourage discrimination based upon, but not limited to, disability, gender, nationality, race or ethnicity, religion, and sexual orientation.

I thank the author for his ongoing commitment to combating discrimination and hate, a commitment I share. My administration has devoted significant efforts and implemented a comprehensive strategy to fight hate violence, including through "Stop the Hate," a multi-year grant program that has provided over $100 million for services, including outreach and prevention, to support communities impacted by acts of hate. We also established the Commission on the State of Hate within the Civil Rights Department, and launched California vs. Hate, a statewide hotline and network to report hate acts and connect victims with services.

While implementation of this bill requires an appropriation by the Legislature, it will result in General Fund cost pressures to fund the campaigns and working group. In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital that we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

64

A.B. No. 546—Caloza et al.

An act relating to health care coverage, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

2025

Sep. 16—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 546 without my signature.

This bill would require large group health plans to cover, with a prescription, one portable high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) purifier for an enrollee or insured who is pregnant or diagnosed with asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) if they reside in or are displaced from a county where a local or state emergency has been declared due to wildfires.

I appreciate the author's intent to ensure victims of wildfires have continuous access to devices that enable cleaner air, such as purifiers. However, this bill's coverage mandate could have the unintended consequence of creating disparities and inequities for individuals who suffer from other health conditions. Further, a mandate to cover air purifiers sets a precedent that goes beyond coverage for basic health care services.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

65

A.B. No. 554—Mark González et al.
An act relating to health care coverage.

2025

Sep. 22—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 554 without my signature.

This bill would prohibit nongrandfathered health plans established by the federal Affordable Care Act (ACA) and insurance policies from imposing any cost-sharing for antiretroviral drugs, devices, or drug products that are approved by the federal Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP).

I wholeheartedly support efforts to ensure affordable and accessible prevention and treatment of HIV/AIDS, and I share the author's desire to address politically motivated changes to long-standing preventive services requirements by the current hostile federal administration. This year's budget specifically codified the January 1, 2025 recommendations made by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force for no-cost preventive services - ensuring the prior federal administration's guidelines are a matter of state law. As a result, the California Department of Public Health now has the explicit authority to modify or supplement these baseline guidelines based on recommendations and guidance from medical and scientific organizations.

However, certain components of this measure raise concerns about affordability. By exceeding the cost-sharing provisions under the ACA, this bill would result in increased costs to health plans, which would then be passed on to consumers. At a time when individuals are facing double-digit rate increases in their health care premiums across the nation, the state must weigh the potential benefits of all new mandates against the comprehensive costs to the entire health care delivery system.

For these reasons, I cannot sign the bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

66

A.B. No. 650—Papan et al.
An act relating to land use.

2025

Sep. 23—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 650 without my signature.

This bill would require the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), if it finds that a draft housing element is deficient, to provide the specific analysis and the draft text that should be included in the jurisdiction's housing element.

I share the author's interest in improving the housing element process. In partnership with the Legislature, we have enacted numerous reforms to strengthen this process by demanding more rigorous site inventories, enforceable rezoning, and stronger accountability mechanisms to uphold state law.

Although intended to build on these recent efforts, I am concerned that this bill would inappropriately shift responsibility for preparing housing elements from local jurisdictions to HCD. While HCD provides technical assistance when requested and in response to inadequate housing elements, that support is no substitute to the local government's fundamental responsibility to plan for its share of housing needs. Further, shifting these duties to the state would add at least $11 million in new annual costs.

Housing element law has advanced considerably through recent legislation, much of which is now being implemented in the current planning cycle. As these changes take hold, it is critical to preserve the fundamental structure of local planning responsibility under state oversight. However, I look forward to continuing to work with the Legislature on additional opportunities to further improve this process.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

67

A.B. No. 742—Elhawary et al.
An act relating to professions and vocations.

2025

Sep. 22—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 742 without my signature.

This bill would require boards and bureaus under the Department of Consumer Affairs to expedite applicants seeking licensure who are descendants of slaves, once a certification process for the descendants of American Slaves is implemented by the Bureau for Descendants of American Slavery.

I appreciate the author's intent to increase diversity within the professional licensed population and improve licensure opportunities for historically underrepresented communities. However, as the number of applicants who qualify for expedited licensure increases, the benefits of mandated prioritization may start to diminish, creating negative impacts on other applicants. Additionally, licensing fee increases may result from this bill, as an increase in staff will be necessary to ensure expedited applications.

I vetoed two similar measures seeking to expedite licensure for specified populations last year for these same concerns, and I believe more data is needed on the effectiveness and consequences of expedited licensure before committing to similar frameworks.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

68

A.B. No. 766—Sharp-Collins et al.
An act relating to state government.

2025

Sep. 23—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 766 without my signature.

This bill would require agencies, departments, offices, or commissions under the Governor's authority to develop or update their strategic plans to more effectively advance racial equity and respond to identified disparities with changes to the organization's policies, programs, and operations. This bill would also require each entity to report to the Legislature and Governor, by April 1, 2026, and annually thereafter, on the steps taken to develop and adopt a strategic plan.

I share the author's goal to ensure equity is embedded within my Administration's actions and plans. In 2022, I signed EO N-16-22, which provided a framework towards helping make the California Dream a reality for every one of us. That EO also created the 11-member Racial Equity Commission to recommend tools, methodologies, and opportunities to address inequities facing historically underserved and marginalized communities across the state.

Unfortunately, the bill's timelines for reporting on strategic plans, along with the additional information entities must include in their plan and uncertainty around which entities are required to comply, are unworkable. Lastly, expanding the reporting requirements to all entities under my purview with these accelerated timelines will lead to significant costs not accounted for in this year's final budget agreement.

In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital that we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

69

A.B. No. 797—Harabedian.

An act relating to economic development, making an appropriation therefor, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

2025

Sep. 16—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 797 without my signature.

This bill requires the California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank (IBank) to develop and administer a program that issues securities to support redevelopment to help stabilize property values in disaster-affected areas.

The intent of this bill is to ensure fair redevelopment of disaster-affected residential properties to help keep such properties in the hands of community members - an effort I wholeheartedly support. However, the administration of the IBank program proposed by this bill would result in significant, ongoing General Fund impacts in the tens of millions of dollars not included in the 2025 Budget Act.

In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital that we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

70

A.B. No. 963—Petrie-Norris.
An act relating to public works.

2025

Sep. 24—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 963 without my signature.

This bill would require owners or developers undertaking a project subject to state prevailing wage laws to make certain records, such as certified payroll records or final construction contracts, available to the Labor Commissioner's Office (LCO), a multi-employer Taft-Hartley trust fund, or a joint labor-management committee. This bill also would set compliance timelines and require the LCO to submit its own request for these records.

While I agree with the author's goal to ensure all parties comply with California's prevailing wage laws, this bill creates redundant processes that could undermine other enforcement priorities. Current law already grants parties the ability to request relevant payroll records from contractors, and the public may access such records through the LCO or the awarding entity. Moreover, this measure generates a significant new workload for the LCO. Given the LCO's limited capacity, the proposed record request process is not a prudent use of department resources. I encourage the author to introduce a bill in 2026 that takes a less burdensome and more streamlined approach to ensuring compliance with prevailing wage laws.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

71

A.B. No. 1032—Harabedian et al.

An act relating to health care coverage, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

2025

Sep. 24—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1032 without my signature.

This bill would require large group health care service plans and insurers to reimburse an eligible enrollee for up to 12 visits with a licensed behavioral health (BH) provider if the enrollee lives in a county where a local or state emergency is proclaimed due to wildfires and experienced a loss, trauma, or displacement because of the fire. This bill would prohibit these benefits from being subject to utilization review (UM) and would apply regardless of whether a licensed BH provider is a contracting provider.

While I share the authors' concerns regarding the increased need for behavioral health services following a wildfire disaster, all enrollees in commercial plans already maintain coverage for behavioral health visits, regardless of whether they live in a county where a local or state emergency is declared. Additionally, this bill creates a broad exception to UM practices, including out-of-network limits, which are standard managed care protocols that ensure appropriate care while limiting unnecessary costs. At a time when consumers are facing double-digit rate increases in their health care premiums across the nation, passing additional policies that would lead to further premium increases would be irresponsible.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

72

A.B. No. 1064—Bauer-Kahan et al.
An act relating to artificial intelligence.

2025

Sep. 23—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1064 without my signature.

This bill would prohibit making a companion chatbot available to users under 18 years of age, unless the chatbot is not foreseeably capable of certain actions.

As artificial intelligence (AI) continues to become more embedded in our daily lives, it is essential that widely used tools, such as chatbots, operate responsibly, transparently, and with user well-being in mind. These standards are especially important for users who are most vulnerable to AI's harmful impacts, particularly minors. A handful of disturbing instances of inappropriate - and in some cases, outright dangerous - interactions with conversational AI tools have been reported that we, as a society, have a responsibility to address.

This is why I recently signed SB 243 (Padilla), which requires chatbot platforms to establish protocols to detect, remove, and respond to instances of suicidal ideation, suicide, or self-harm expressed by users. That bill requires reporting on these protocols to the California Department of Public Health, to better equip policymakers and stakeholders to understand the potential impact of companion chatbot interactions on mental health. As for younger users, SB 243 further requires operators to disclose to minors that they are interacting with AI, provide periodic reminders to take a break and that the chatbot is artificially generated, and prevent chatbots from producing sexually explicit material.

While I strongly support the author's goal of establishing necessary safeguards for the safe use of AI by minors, AB 1064 imposes such broad restrictions on the use of conversational AI tools that it may unintentionally lead to a total ban on the use of these products by minors. AI is already shaping the world, and it is imperative that adolescents learn how to safely interact with AI systems. This extends far beyond knowing how to use technology tools, such as conversational chatbots, and includes an understanding of what AI is, how it functions, and how to critically evaluate AI-generated content for algorithmic bias, misinformation, and other risks. We cannot prepare our youth for a future where AI is ubiquitous by preventing their use of these tools altogether.

MEASURE CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

A.B. No. 1064—Bauer-Kahan et al.—continued

The types of interactions that this bill seeks to address are abhorrent, and I am fully committed to finding the right approach to protect children from these harms in a manner that does not effectively ban the use of the technology altogether. I will work with my partners in the Legislature to build on the framework established by SB 243 (Padilla) to develop a bill next year that ensures young people can use AI in a manner that is safe, age-appropriate, and in the best interests of children and their future.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

73

A.B. No. 1136—Ortega et al.
An act relating to employment.

2025

Sep. 23—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1136 without my signature.

This bill would allow workers to take up to five days of unpaid leave to attend adjudications, legal proceedings, detainments, or other immigration-related matters. It also would require employers to reinstate employees who were terminated for failing to provide proof of work authorization if the employee presents valid authorization within 12 months of termination. In addition, the bill would require employers who are aware that an employee is detained or incarcerated due to a pending deportation or immigration proceeding to place the employee on unpaid leave for up to 12 months, during which the employee would have the same reinstatement rights as employees terminated for lacking work authorization.

I commend the author for her efforts to protect our most vulnerable workers amid the indiscriminate raids that have rounded up American citizens, people with legal status, and hardworking parents. However, this measure could cause significant confusion for both employees and employers, exacerbated by the shifting tactics of federal law enforcement. The bill duplicates existing discrimination protections and is inconsistent with other leave frameworks in state law, which will lead to compliance challenges and inefficiencies with enforcement.

This measure's 12-month rehire provisions also present logistical and compliance challenges that may undermine their effectiveness. For local education agencies, this measure interferes with existing rehire protections. Moreover, the 12-month window for these requirements, which can be extended even longer in some situations, is impractical for many employers and employees.

MEASURE CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

A.B. No. 1136—Ortega et al.—continued

Finally, this measure imposes a significant but preventable burden on the Labor Commissioner that will undermine other enforcement efforts. As drafted, this bill will require the Labor Commissioner to undertake costly and time-consuming civil actions to enforce its requirements, resulting in annual costs exceeding $10 million.

I encourage the author to introduce a measure next year that takes a more surgical approach to protecting workers and our economy, which are now under attack by the federal government. In the meantime, I am directing the Department of Industrial Relations, the Labor Commissioner's Office, Cal/OSHA, and the Civil Rights Department to aggressively fulfill their commitment to protect all California workers, regardless of their immigration status, against labor or civil rights violations. These departments will work closely with community partners to advance strategies, informed by the Rural Strategic Engagement Program and the California Workplace Outreach Project, that reinforce trust that even in the face of this assault on our communities by federal actors, California's labor and civil rights laws still protect every worker in the state, regardless of their immigration status. This helps keep all workers safe and supports a fair playing field for law-abiding employers. That vital work will not stop.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

74

A.B. No. 1143—Bennett.
An act relating to fire safety.

2025

Sep. 24—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1143 without my signature.

This bill would require the Office of the State Fire Marshal's Wildfire Mitigation Advisory Committee within the Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE) to develop a home-hardening certification program by January 1, 2027.

At a time when Californians are grappling with rising insurance costs due to natural disasters exacerbated by climate change, the state has launched multiple efforts to expedite proven and cost-effective home-hardening practices, aiming to improve insurability for millions of homeowners. CAL FIRE currently administers California's Wildfire Mitigation Program, established in 2019 to strengthen community-wide resilience against wildfires. The California Governor's Office of Emergency Services (Cal OES) and CAL FIRE, working side-by-side with counties and cities, have launched a statewide wildfire home-hardening playbook that at-risk communities can lift straight off the shelf. In 2022, CDI introduced its "Safer from Wildfires" framework, a first-of-its-kind regulation that requires insurance companies to offer discounts to homeowners and businesses that take specific wildfire mitigation steps. These are just a few examples that demonstrate the state's commitment to tackling this important issue.

This year, the Legislature sent me multiple bills with the intention of building upon this ongoing work. Unfortunately, rather than providing a coordinated approach, these measures are in conflict with one another, tasking different state entities with similar objectives. The lack of harmony between these efforts will not only result in conflicting outcomes but also confusion for consumers, insurance companies, local governments, and emergency responders.

MEASURE CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

A.B. No. 1143—Bennett.—continued

I encourage the Legislature to revisit this important issue next year and work collaboratively to navigate the different approaches to setting hardening standards, including determining the responsible state entity. In the meantime, California will continue to aggressively implement the multiple initiatives underway to mitigate wildfire risk, encourage cost-effective structure hardening and retrofitting, facilitate vegetation management, and address the availability and cost of insurance.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

75

A.B. No. 1336—Addis.
An act relating to workers' compensation.

2025

Sep. 23—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1336 without my signature.

This bill would establish a presumption, for purposes of a workers' compensation claim, that a worker's heat-related injury arose out of their employment if their employer failed to comply with the Division of Occupational Safety and Health (Cal/OSHA)'s heat illness prevention standards.

Last year, I vetoed a nearly identical bill, stating that creating a presumption in the workers' compensation system is not an effective way to protect California farmworkers from the risk of heat-related illnesses. Current laws establishing, regulating, and enforcing heat illness prevention standards fall under the jurisdiction of Cal/OSHA, not the Division of Workers' Compensation. The workers' compensation system is not equipped to make determinations about employers' compliance with Cal/OSHA standards. This tension will lead to excessive delays and dilute the bill's potential benefits to workers.

I share the author's goal of protecting workers from the dangers of excessive heat. Cal/OSHA recently established a dedicated Agricultural Enforcement Task Force and Outreach Unit, which has opened more than 250 proactive inspections. Moreover, Cal/OSHA's Consultation Services Unit, which provides free assistance to employers to help them comply with health and safety standards, has addressed heat illness at 395 worksites since May 2025. I remain committed to working with the Legislature, workers, and worker advocates to explore how to bolster and complement Cal/OSHA's ongoing efforts to improve working conditions for agricultural workers.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

76

A.B. No. 1348—Bains.
An act relating to school finance.

2025

Sep. 16—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 2 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1348 without my signature.

This bill adds immigration enforcement activity to the list of emergencies that may justify a waiver of average daily attendance losses for school funding purposes until July 1, 2029.

Current law already provides opportunities for local educational agencies to utilize attendance recovery and independent study programs to recoup lost attendance-based funding, while also ensuring students receive instruction and support. AB 1348 does not include sufficient safeguards to ensure that students who miss school due to immigration enforcement activities will receive alternative instructional opportunities. Additionally, this bill creates substantial cost pressures when state law is already designed to buffer school districts' budgets from attendance fluctuations by allowing them to use a three-year rolling average of attendance when calculating school funding.

In partnership with the Legislature this year, my Administration has enacted a balanced budget that recognizes the challenging fiscal landscape our state faces while maintaining our commitment to working families and our most vulnerable communities. With significant fiscal pressures and the federal government's hostile economic policies, it is vital that we remain disciplined when considering bills with significant fiscal implications that are not included in the budget, such as this measure.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

77

A.B. No. 1400—Soria et al.
An act relating to postsecondary education.

2025

Sep. 24—Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.

Oct. 13—Vetoed by Governor. Consideration of Governor's veto pending. **Legislature has 60 calendar days, not including periods of joint recess, to consider Governor's veto (J.R. 58.5)**. Shall this bill become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?

To the Members of the California State Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 1400 without my signature.

This bill requires the California Community College (CCC) Chancellor's Office to establish a Community College Baccalaureate Degree in Nursing Pilot Program that would authorize 10 community college districts to offer a Bachelor of Science in Nursing (BSN) degree.

Last year, I vetoed a nearly identical bill, citing two primary reasons that continue to persist.

First, the 2024 Budget Agreement included $60 million per year, from 2025–26 to 2028–29, for the Rebuilding Nursing Infrastructure Grant Program, which may be used to develop or expand BSN partnerships with UC, CSU, and independent nonprofit higher education institutions. These partnerships have proven successful in expanding BSN access for community college students and increasing the number of BSN degree recipients. All segments of higher education must continue to collaborate on building these programs, and returning this bill will ensure those collaborative efforts are not undermined.

Second, in recent years, both the CCC and the CSU have been authorized to offer independent programs outside of their traditional roles, provided they do not duplicate degree offerings of other public segments. I encourage the CCC system to focus on implementing this expanded authority and to ensure that it can continue to make progress on the unique and vital role the CCC plays in serving its students and the state. Given these significant changes, a pause should be maintained to understand their full impact before additional authorities are granted.

For these reasons, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

RECONSIDERATION

78

S.B. No. 295—Hurtado et al. **(Bauer-Kahan)***

An act relating to business regulations.

Vote required: 41

2025

Jun. 4—In Assembly. Read first time. Held at Desk.

Jun. 9—Referred to Coms. on JUD. and P. & C.P.

Jun. 26—From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on JUD.

Jun. 27—From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on JUD.

Jul. 2—From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on P. & C.P. (Ayes 9. Noes 3.) (July 1). Re-referred to Com. on P. & C.P.

Jul. 14—From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on P. & C.P.

Jul. 17—From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 9. Noes 4.) (July 16). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

Aug. 20—August 20 set for first hearing. Placed on APPR. suspense file.

Aug. 29—From committee: Do pass as amended. (Ayes 10. Noes 4.) (August 29).

Sep. 2—Read second time and amended. Ordered to second reading.

Sep. 3—Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

Sep. 9—Joint Rule 61(a)(13) suspended.

Sep. 13—Joint Rule 61(a)(14) and 51(a)(4) suspended. Read third time. Refused passage. (Ayes 13. Noes 24.). Motion to reconsider on the next legislative day made by Assembly Member Bauer-Kahan.

2026

Jan. 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16—Motion to reconsider continued.

* Indicates Assembly Member who will carry measure on floor.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

RECONSIDERATION—Continued

79

A.B. No. 1231—Elhawary et al.
An act relating to criminal procedure.
Vote required: 41

2025

Sep. 13—Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Assembly. (Ayes 21. Noes 6.).

Sep. 13—In Assembly. Concurrence in Senate amendments pending. Joint Rules 61(a)(14) and 51(a)(4) suspended. Assembly Rule 63 suspended. Assembly refused to concur in Senate amendments. (Ayes 34. Noes 27.) Motion to reconsider on the next legislative day made by Assembly Member Elhawary.

2026

Jan. 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16—Motion to reconsider continued.

80

A.B. No. 596—Ortega.
An act relating to elections.
Vote required: 41

2025

Sep. 12—Read second time. Ordered to third reading. Senate Rule 29 suspended. Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Assembly. (Ayes 25. Noes 9.).

Sep. 13—In Assembly. Concurrence in Senate amendments pending. Joint Rules 61(a)(14) and 51(a)(4) suspended. Assembly Rule 63 suspended. Assembly refused to concur in Senate amendments. (Ayes 37. Noes 22.) Motion to reconsider on the next legislative day made by Assembly Member Ortega.

2026

Jan. 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16—Motion to reconsider continued.

* Indicates Assembly Member who will carry measure on floor.

ASSEMBLY THIRD READING FILE

81

A.C.R. No. 110—Tangipa et al.

Relative to Tongan Culture and Language Week.

Vote required: 41

2025

Aug. 18—Introduced. To print.

Aug. 19—From printer.

Aug. 21—Referred to Com. on RLS. Coauthors revised. From committee: Be adopted. Ordered to Third Reading. (Ayes 11. Noes 0.) (August 21).

82

H.R. No. 75—Pellerin et al.

Relative to National Human Trafficking Awareness Month.

2026

Jan. 5—Introduced.

Jan. 6—Introduced measure version corrected.

Jan. 12—Referred to Com. on RLS. From committee: Be adopted. Ordered to Third Reading. (Ayes 10. Noes 0.) (January 12).

ASSEMBLY THIRD READING FILE—Continued

83

A.B. No. 768—Ávila Farías.
An act relating to mobilehome parks.
Vote required: 41

2025

Feb. 18—Read first time. To print.
Feb. 19—From printer. May be heard in committee March 21.
Mar. 17—Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and JUD.
Apr. 30—From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on JUD. (Ayes 7. Noes 2.) (April 30). Re-referred to Com. on JUD.
May 2—In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.

2026

Jan. 5—From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on JUD. Read second time and amended.
Jan. 6—Re-referred to Com. on JUD.
Jan. 13—From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended. (Ayes 12. Noes 0.) (January 13).
Jan. 14—Read second time and amended. Ordered returned to second reading.
Jan. 15—Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

ASSEMBLY THIRD READING FILE—Continued

84

A.B. No. 1406—Ward et al.

An act relating to real property.

Vote required: 41

2025

Feb. 21—Introduced. To print.

Feb. 22—From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.

Feb. 24—Read first time.

Mar. 24—Referred to Coms. on JUD. and B. & P. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on JUD. Read second time and amended.

Mar. 25—Re-referred to Com. on JUD.

Apr. 2—In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.

Apr. 21—In committee: Set, second hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.

2026

Jan. 5—From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on JUD. Read second time and amended.

Jan. 6—Re-referred to Com. on JUD.

Jan. 13—From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended. (Ayes 7. Noes 3.) (January 13).

Jan. 14—Read second time and amended. Ordered returned to second reading.

Jan. 15—Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

ASSEMBLY THIRD READING FILE—Continued

85

A.B. No. 1184—Patterson et al.

An act relating to common interest developments.

Vote required: 41

2025

Feb. 21—Introduced. To print.

Feb. 22—From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.

Feb. 24—Read first time.

Mar. 24—Referred to Com. on H. & C.D. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on H. & C.D. Read second time and amended.

Mar. 25—Re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D.

2026

Jan. 5—From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on H. & C.D. Read second time and amended.

Jan. 6—Re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D.

Jan. 14—From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended. (Ayes 11. Noes 0.) (January 14).

Jan. 15—Read second time and amended. Ordered returned to second reading.

Jan. 16—Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

ASSEMBLY THIRD READING FILE—Continued

86

A.B. No. 1382—Castillo et al.

An act relating to animals.

Vote required: 41

2025

Feb. 21—Introduced. To print.

Feb. 22—From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.

Feb. 24—Read first time.

Mar. 28—Referred to Coms. on B. & P. and JUD. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on B. & P. Read second time and amended.

Apr. 1—Re-referred to Com. on B. & P.

Apr. 22—Assembly Rule 47.1 invoked. (Castillo). From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on B. & P. Read second time and amended.

Apr. 23—Re-referred to Com. on B. & P.

2026

Jan. 5—From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on B. & P. Read second time and amended.

Jan. 6—Re-referred to Com. on B. & P.

Jan. 8—Assembly Rule 56 suspended. (Pending re-refer to Com. on JUD.)

Jan. 13—From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on JUD. (Ayes 17. Noes 0.) (January 13). Re-referred to Com. on JUD.

Jan. 15—From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 12. Noes 0.) (January 15).

Jan. 16—Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

SENATE THIRD READING FILE

87

S.B. No. 581—McGuire et al.
An act relating to public employment.
Vote required: 41

2025

Jun. 4—In Assembly. Read first time. Held at Desk.
Jun. 9—Referred to Coms. on P. E. & R. and NAT. RES.
Jun. 25—From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on NAT. RES. with recommendation: To consent calendar. (Ayes 7. Noes 0.) (June 25). Re-referred to Com. on NAT. RES.
Jul. 15—From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To consent calendar. (Ayes 13. Noes 0.) (July 14). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Aug. 20—August 20 set for first hearing. Placed on APPR. suspense file.
Aug. 29—From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 15. Noes 0.) (August 29).
Sep. 2—Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

88

S.B. No. 804—Archuleta et al. **(Petrie-Norris)***
An act relating to pipeline safety.
Vote required: 41

2025

Jun. 3—In Assembly. Read first time. Held at Desk.
Jun. 9—Referred to Com. on U. & E.
Jun. 17—From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on U. & E.
Jun. 26—From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on E.M with recommendation: To consent calendar. (Ayes 18. Noes 0.) (June 25).
Jun. 27—Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on E.M.
Jul. 15—From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To consent calendar. (Ayes 7. Noes 0.) (July 14). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Aug. 20—August 20 set for first hearing. Placed on APPR. suspense file.
Aug. 29—From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 15. Noes 0.) (August 29).
Sep. 2—Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

* Indicates Assembly Member who will carry measure on floor.

SENATE THIRD READING FILE—Continued

89

S.B. No. 25—Umberg. **(Aguiar-Curry)***

An act relating to business.

Vote required: 41

2025

Jun. 3—In Assembly. Read first time. Held at Desk.

Jun. 9—Referred to Com. on JUD.

Jun. 24—From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 10. Noes 0.) (June 24). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

Jul. 9—July 9 set for first hearing. Placed on APPR. suspense file.

Aug. 29—From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 11. Noes 1.) (August 29).

Sep. 2—Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

Sep. 8—Ordered to inactive file on request of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

2026

Jan. 12—Notice of intention to remove from inactive file given by Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

Jan. 13—From inactive, placed on file.

Jan. 14—Read third time and amended. Ordered to third reading.

* Indicates Assembly Member who will carry measure on floor.

INACTIVE FILE

A—1 **A.B. No. 914—Garcia. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to air pollution.

2025
Jun. 2—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Garcia.

A—2 **A.B. No. 1248—Haney. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to civil law.

2025
Jun. 3—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Haney.

A—3 **A.B. No. 1313—Papan. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to water quality.

2025
Jun. 4—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Papan.

A—4 **A.B. No. 1444—Flora. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to public notice.

2025
Jun. 4—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Flora.

A—5 **A.B. No. 958—Sharp-Collins et al. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to the California State University.

2025
Jun. 5—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Sharp-Collins.

A—6 **A.B. No. 1279—Sharp-Collins et al. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to criminal procedure.

2025
Jun. 5—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Sharp-Collins.

A—7 **A.B. No. 1044—Macedo. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to water districts.

2025
Jun. 9—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Macedo.

---

* Indicates Assembly Member who will carry measure on floor.

INACTIVE FILE—Continued

A—8 **A.B. No. 281—Gallagher. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to pupil instruction.

2025
Jun. 12—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Gallagher.

A—9 **A.B. No. 794—Gabriel. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to safe drinking water.

2025
Jun. 12—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Gabriel.

A—10 **S.B. No. 344—Weber Pierson. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to human remains.

2025
Jul. 17—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

A—11 **S.B. No. 773—Ashby. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to healing arts.

2025
Jul. 17—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

A—12 **S.B. No. 739—Arreguín. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to CalFresh.

2025
Aug. 18—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

A—13 **S.B. No. 357—Menjivar et al. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to juveniles.

2025
Aug. 18—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

A—14 **S.B. No. 97—Grayson. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to financial regulation.

2025
Aug. 21—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

A—15 **S.B. No. 769—Caballero et al. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to infrastructure finance, and making an appropriation therefor.

2025
Sep. 4—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

---

* Indicates Assembly Member who will carry measure on floor.

INACTIVE FILE—Continued

A—16 **S.B. No. 615—Allen et al. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to hazardous waste.

2025
Sep. 9—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

A—17 **S.B. No. 453—Stern et al. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to energy.

2025
Sep. 9—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

A—18 **S.B. No. 841—Rubio et al. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to immigration.

2025
Sep. 9—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

A—19 **S.B. No. 522—Wahab et al. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to housing.

2025
Sep. 10—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

A—20 **S.B. No. 503—Weber Pierson et al. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to health care services.

2025
Sep. 10—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

A—21 **S.B. No. 577—Laird et al. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to state government.

2025
Sep. 10—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

A—22 **A.B. No. 1195—Quirk-Silva. (Concurrence)**
An act relating to juveniles.

2025
Sep. 10—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Quirk-Silva.

A—23 **S.B. No. 599—Caballero. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to atmospheric rivers.

2025
Sep. 10—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

---

* Indicates Assembly Member who will carry measure on floor.

INACTIVE FILE—Continued

A—24 **S.B. No. 716—Durazo et al. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to communications.

2025
Sep. 11—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

A—25 **S.B. No. 542—Limón. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to oil spills.

2025
Sep. 12—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

A—26 **S.B. No. 259—Wahab et al. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to consumer protection.

2025
Sep. 12—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

A—27 **S.B. No. 494—Cortese. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to charter schools.

2025
Sep. 13—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

A—28 **A.B. No. 1156—Wicks. (Concurrence)**
An act relating to solar-use easements.

2025
Sep. 13—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Wicks.

A—29 **A.B. No. 881—Petrie-Norris et al. (Concurrence)**
An act relating to public resources.

2025
Sep. 13—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Petrie-Norris.

A—30 **S.B. No. 29—Laird et al. (Third Reading)**
An act relating to civil actions.

2025
Sep. 13—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Aguiar-Curry.

A—31 **A.B. No. 362—Ramos et al.**
An act relating to water.

2026
Jan. 16—To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Ramos.

---

* Indicates Assembly Member who will carry measure on floor.

BILLS ON THE ASSEMBLY DAILY FILE

BILL NO.	ITEM NO.	AUTHOR	LOCATION	VOTE REQ
AB 7	57	Bryan et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 15	44	Gipson et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 44	5	Schultz	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 57	58	McKinnor et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 62	59	McKinnor et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 76	60	Alvarez	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 81	45	Ta et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 86	6	Boerner	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 93	46	Papan	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 239	61	Harabedian et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 255	7	Haney et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 265	47	Caloza et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 281	A-8	Gallagher (Third Reading)	Inactive File	MAJ
AB 362	A-31	Ramos et al.	Inactive File	MAJ
AB 374	20	Nguyen et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 393	21	Connolly et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 399	8	Boerner et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 400	9	Pacheco et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 432	62	Bauer-Kahan et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 449	63	Jackson	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 474	10	Ward	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 512	32	Harabedian et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 524	11	Wilson et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 527	33	Papan et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 546	64	Caloza et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 554	65	Mark González et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 568	2	Macedo	Concurrence	MAJ
AB 574	34	Mark González et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 596	80	Ortega	Reconsideration	MAJ
AB 615	35	Davies et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 620	22	Jackson	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 632	48	Hart et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 650	66	Papan et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 682	36	Ortega et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 689	12	Blanca Rubio	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 695	23	Fong et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 696	49	Ransom et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 699	13	Stefani	Governor's Vetoes	-

BILLS ON THE ASSEMBLY DAILY FILE

BILL NO.	ITEM NO.	AUTHOR	LOCATION	VOTE REQ
AB 734	14	Schultz et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 738	37	Tangipa et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 740	24	Harabedian et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 742	67	Elhawary et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 766	68	Sharp-Collins et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 768	83	Ávila Farías	Third Reading	MAJ
AB 794	A-9	Gabriel (Third Reading)	Inactive File	MAJ
AB 797	69	Harabedian	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 823	50	Boerner et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 830	25	Rogers	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 833	26	Alvarez	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 843	15	Garcia	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 871	1	Stefani et al.	Second Reading	MAJ
AB 881	A-29	Petrie-Norris et al. (Concurrence)	Inactive File	MAJ
AB 914	A-1	Garcia (Third Reading)	Inactive File	MAJ
AB 915	16	Petrie-Norris	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 958	A-5	Sharp-Collins et al. (Third Reading)	Inactive File	MAJ
AB 963	70	Petrie-Norris	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 975	17	Gallagher	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 986	18	Muratsuchi et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1026	27	Wilson et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1032	71	Harabedian et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1042	51	Ransom et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1044	A-7	Macedo (Third Reading)	Inactive File	MAJ
AB 1064	72	Bauer-Kahan et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1074	38	Patel	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1089	28	Carrillo	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1136	73	Ortega et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1143	74	Bennett	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1156	A-28	Wicks (Concurrence)	Inactive File	MAJ
AB 1184	85	Patterson et al.	Third Reading	MAJ
AB 1195	A-22	Quirk-Silva (Concurrence)	Inactive File	MAJ
AB 1200	52	Caloza	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1210	39	Lackey	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1224	40	Valencia et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-

BILLS ON THE ASSEMBLY DAILY FILE

BILL NO.	ITEM NO.	AUTHOR	LOCATION	VOTE REQ
AB 1225	53	Bennett et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1231	79	Elhawary et al.	Reconsideration	MAJ
AB 1248	A-2	Haney (Third Reading)	Inactive File	MAJ
AB 1273	19	Patterson	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1279	A-6	Sharp-Collins et al. (Third Reading)	Inactive File	2/3
AB 1309	29	Flora	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1313	A-3	Papan (Third Reading)	Inactive File	MAJ
AB 1324	41	Sharp-Collins et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1326	54	Ahrens et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1329	30	Ortega	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1332	55	Ahrens	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1336	75	Addis	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1348	76	Bains	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1373	56	Soria	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1378	42	Rogers et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1382	86	Castillo et al.	Third Reading	MAJ
AB 1387	4	Quirk-Silva	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1400	77	Soria et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1406	84	Ward et al.	Third Reading	MAJ
AB 1408	31	Irwin et al.	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1430	43	Bennett	Governor's Vetoes	-
AB 1444	A-4	Flora (Third Reading)	Inactive File	MAJ
AB 1485	3	Macedo et al.	Concurrence	MAJ
ACR 110	81	Tangipa et al.	Third Reading	MAJ
HR 75	82	Pellerin et al.	Third Reading	-
SB 25	89	Umberg (Aguiar-Curry)*	Third Reading	MAJ
SB 29	A-30	Laird et al. (Third Reading) (Harabedian)*	Inactive File	MAJ
SB 97	A-14	Grayson (Third Reading)	Inactive File	MAJ
SB 259	A-26	Wahab et al. (Third Reading) (Bauer-Kahan)*	Inactive File	MAJ
SB 295	78	Hurtado et al. (Bauer-Kahan)*	Reconsideration	MAJ
SB 344	A-10	Weber Pierson (Third Reading)	Inactive File	MAJ

BILLS ON THE ASSEMBLY DAILY FILE

BILL NO.	ITEM NO.	AUTHOR	LOCATION	VOTE REQ
SB 357	A-13	Menjivar et al. (Third Reading)	Inactive File	MAJ
SB 453	A-17	Stern et al. (Third Reading)	Inactive File	MAJ
SB 494	A-27	Cortese (Third Reading) (Ortega)*	Inactive File	MAJ
SB 503	A-20	Weber Pierson et al. (Third Reading) (Wilson)*	Inactive File	MAJ
SB 522	A-19	Wahab et al. (Third Reading) (Garcia)*	Inactive File	MAJ
SB 542	A-25	Limón (Third Reading) (Hart)*	Inactive File	MAJ
SB 577	A-21	Laird et al. (Third Reading)	Inactive File	MAJ
SB 581	87	McGuire et al.	Third Reading	MAJ
SB 599	A-23	Caballero (Third Reading) (Ward)*	Inactive File	MAJ
SB 615	A-16	Allen et al. (Third Reading)	Inactive File	MAJ
SB 716	A-24	Durazo et al. (Third Reading)	Inactive File	2/3
SB 739	A-12	Arreguín (Third Reading)	Inactive File	MAJ
SB 769	A-15	Caballero et al. (Third Reading)	Inactive File	2/3
SB 773	A-11	Ashby (Third Reading)	Inactive File	MAJ
SB 804	88	Archuleta et al. (Petrie-Norris)*	Third Reading	MAJ
SB 841	A-18	Rubio et al. (Third Reading)	Inactive File	MAJ

BILLS ON THE ASSEMBLY DAILY FILE

O

CALENDAR 2024

JANUARY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

FEBRUARY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29		

MARCH						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

APRIL						
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30				

MAY						
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

JUNE						
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30						

JULY						
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

AUGUST						
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

SEPTEMBER						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30					

OCTOBER						
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

NOVEMBER						
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30

DECEMBER						
1	**2**	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

Bold and Underlined dates indicate Floor Sessions,
Bold only dates indicate Check–in Sessions,
Bold and Double–underlined dates indicate there was no quorum present

CALENDAR 2025

JANUARY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
			1	2	3	4
5	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	10	11
12	**13**	**14**	**15**	**16**	**17**	18
19	20	**21**	**22**	**23**	24	25
26	**27**	**28**	**29**	**30**	31	

FEBRUARY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
						1
2	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	7	8
9	**10**	**11**	**12**	**13**	**14**	15
16	17	**18**	**19**	**20**	21	22
23	**24**	**25**	**26**	**27**	28	

MARCH						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
						1
2	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	7	8
9	**10**	**11**	**12**	**13**	14	15
16	**17**	**18**	**19**	**20**	21	22
23	**24**	**25**	**26**	**27**	**28**	29
30	31					

APRIL						
		1	**2**	**3**	4	5
6	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	**21**	**22**	**23**	**24**	25	26
27	**28**	**29**	**30**			

MAY						
				1	2	3
4	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	9	10
11	**12**	**13**	**14**	**15**	16	17
18	**19**	**20**	**21**	**22**	**23**	24
25	26	**27**	**28**	**29**	30	31

JUNE						
1	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	6	7
8	**9**	**10**	**11**	**12**	**13**	14
15	**16**	**17**	**18**	**19**	20	21
22	**23**	**24**	**25**	**26**	**27**	28
29	**30**					

JULY						
		1	**2**	**3**	4	5
6	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	11	12
13	**14**	**15**	**16**	**17**	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

AUGUST						
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	**18**	**19**	**20**	**21**	22	23
24	**25**	**26**	**27**	**28**	29	30
31						

SEPTEMBER						
	1	**2**	**3**	**4**	5	6
7	**8**	**9**	**10**	**11**	**12**	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30				

OCTOBER						
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

NOVEMBER						
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30						

DECEMBER						
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

Bold and Underlined dates indicate Floor Sessions,
Bold only dates indicate Check–in Sessions,
Bold and Double–underlined dates indicate there was no quorum present

CALENDAR 2026

JANUARY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
				1	2	3
4	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	9	10
11	**12**	**13**	**14**	**15**	**16**	17
18	19	**20**	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

FEBRUARY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28

MARCH						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

APRIL						
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30		

MAY						
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

JUNE						
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30				

JULY						
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

AUGUST						
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

SEPTEMBER						
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30			

OCTOBER						
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

NOVEMBER						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30					

DECEMBER						
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

Bold and Underlined dates indicate Floor Sessions,
Bold only dates indicate Check–in Sessions,
Bold and Double–underlined dates indicate there was no quorum present